

support her claim. Throughout the book there are far too many tendentious assertions in this vein without support or explanation, and a tendency to use quotation instead of argument.

My second criticism is that implications of concepts discussed are not really brought out. For example: a particular kind of individualism is criticised for being 'possessive'. But can any kind of individualism *avoid* being possessive in some measure, even if only of its own ideas and beliefs? (But in many ways I thought the chapter on the individual and the community the best in the book.) Again, if freedom is to be defined as 'consciousness, moral awareness and the capacity to choose between actions and enter into beliefs' (p 95), can *anything* impair it, even the gross inequalities which are said to damage it? These and others like them are of course large questions. I would not expect a full scale discussion of them but would have welcomed an acknowledgement that they do arise from any attempt to analyse the concepts discussed in this book.

ELIZABETH TELFER

Department of Moral Philosophy
University of Glasgow

Ethics in Nursing Practice and Education

(American Nurses' Association) 1980
65 pp \$4.50.

A nurse in the 1980s may be confronted with a variety of complex issues, for which the custom and practice of the past is no longer a sufficient guide. Technical progress has created dilemmas which did not previously exist on the one hand, and on the other there is diversity of opinion where once there was a consensus. In addition, the nurse is also assuming an ever expanding role, especially when functioning as an independent practitioner away from the hierarchical hospital structure. The need for some kind of comprehensive text, like that of the British Medical Association's *Handbook of Medical Ethics* is indisputable. Any attempt to fill this gap must be welcomed.

This collection of seven papers from the American Nurses' Association sets out to consider two main areas, that of nursing practice and of nursing education. In the

former the approach is mainly academic and tends to avoid coming to grips in a specific way with particular issues. There is some overlapping in the different papers, particularly in discussing a definition of ethics. It has also to be remembered that the training and context of practice of health care in America is at considerable variance with our own in Britain, not least in the matter of private funding. For any nurse seeking guidance, the Royal College of Nurses' *Code of Professional Conduct* is likely to prove as helpful.

The papers in the Education section are much more specific, and recognise the need for including a course of ethics in a nursing curriculum. The third paper, 'A Bioethical Program for Baccalaureate Nursing Students', gives an outline of the sort of approach that might be used in such a course. This is not only excellent as a model in this subject but is also valuable as an approach for teaching in any subject.

The British Medical Association's *Handbook* covers a whole range of issues thoroughly and sensitively; but only a page or so is devoted to nurses, and that in the context of their relationship to doctors. While the RCN has gone some way to meeting the need for a treatment of the special problems of nurses with such booklets as *Guidelines on Confidentiality* there is still a lack of a comprehensive British work on the subject.

P M KILSHAW
Imperial College,
University of London

Muted Consent

Jan Wojcik. Purdue University,
Indiana, USA, 1978. 164 pp \$3.35.

This volume is one of a series on science, technology and human values from the Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA. The author hopes that the book will be used as a 'primer in the language of medical ethics'. The book, in seven chapters, looks at various topics in medical ethics. Each chapter begins with three fictional case histories which illustrate the problems analysed in each chapter. The debate is clear and well illustrated with a number of references to contemporary commentators. The treatment of the material is mainly theoretical and philosophical. The quality of the

case material is reduced by the fact that cases are hypothetical and the final outcome is not given. Often the complexity of cases illustrates the many principles that co-exist considering case material. This book isolates the major stances taken in debate on the issues covered.

It seems unclear if the book is intended for doctors, medical students, nurses, philosophers, theologians or the general public. The cases and the discussion suffer very much from bias towards the United States. Indeed the involvement of the courts of law both civil and criminal is very different in the two countries and the arguments thus suffer. Certainly some of the language is more suited to the philosopher than the medical student or young doctor trying to make sense of the dilemmas which face him.

The basic theme of the book is that all ethical problems have an involvement with altered consent in some form or another. Even if one cannot go the whole way with this interesting thesis it undoubtedly emphasises a very important message. Consent in its widest sense is essential for good ethical doctoring. However, in some areas the problem is not merely of consent but when can one give valid consent. Can the mother give consent for the death of her child or fetus? We accept that the parents must be involved in decisions about their baby especially if it is suffering from a serious congenital malformation. In the area of death and dying can the patient give valid consent in a situation where he is denied any real alternative? One chapter explores at considerable length the technical aspects of death. Other aspects receive less attention, in particular the development of the hospice movement in this country. The chapter on resource allocation is clear and I enjoyed reading it very much. Again it has a US bias, emphasising the close involvement of the law courts.

I cannot advise any medical student to buy this book or even suggest it as a primer, but, for those interested in medical ethics this is a valuable source book. The references are extensive and the arguments of the various commentators are well summarised. A book for the library rather than for the private collection.

B T POTTER
Royal Infirmary
Edinburgh