

Journal of Medical Ethics



Contents

The concise argument

- 77 Centring race, deprivation, and disease severity in healthcare priority setting *A Shahvisi*

Clinical ethics

- 79 Informed consent and compulsory medical device registries: ethics and opportunities
D B Kramer, E Parasidis

Current controversy

- 83 CRISPR immunity: a case study for justified somatic genetic modification?
E Y Adashi, I G Cohen

Feature article and commentaries

- 86 Should rare diseases get special treatment? *M Magalhaes*
- 93 Challenges of economic evaluation in rare diseases *S Duckett*
- 95 Prioritisation for therapies based on a disorder's severity: ethics and practicality *N S B Rawson, J Adams*
- 97 Rare and common diseases should be treated equally and why the article by de Magalhaes somewhat misses its' mark *L Sandman*
- 99 Double bad luck: Should rare diseases get special treatment? *A Hutchings*

Original research

- 101 Twin pregnancy, fetal reduction and the 'all or nothing problem' *J Räsänen*
- 106 Case for persuasion in parental informed consent to promote rational vaccine choices
J O'Neill
- 112 Transitions in decision-making authority at the end of life: a problem of law, ethics and practice in deceased donation *S-N Then, D E Martin*

February 2022 Volume 48 Issue 2

- 118 Does NICE apply the rule of rescue in its approach to highly specialised technologies?
V Charlton

- 126 Rationing, racism and justice: advancing the debate around 'colourblind' COVID-19 ventilator allocation *H Schmidt, D E Roberts, N D Eneanya*

Responses

- 131 Unweighted lotteries and compounding injustice: reply to Schmidt *et al*
A J Miller Tate
- 133 Promoting equity with a multi-principle framework to allocate scarce ICU resources
D White, B Lo
- 136 Sequential organ failure assessment, ventilator rationing and evolving triage guidance: new evidence underlines the need to recognise and revise, unjust allocation frameworks *H Schmidt, D E Roberts, N D Eneanya*
- 139 Twin pregnancy reduction is not an 'all or nothing' problem: a response to Räsänen
D Begović, E C Romanis, E J Verweij
- 142 Ethics of fetal reduction: a reply to my critics
J Räsänen

Extended essay

- 144 Practising what we preach: clinical ethicists' professional perspectives and personal use of advance directives *J A Wasserman, M C Navin, V Drzyzga, T S Gibb*

Ethics briefing

- 150 Delay in resolving disagreement in relation to CANH • Re PW - Jehovah's Witness: Validity of an Advance Decision • Conversion therapy • Uyghur tribunal • Police crime sentencing and courts bill
D Nordliffe-Brown, S Brannan, M Davies, V English, C A Harrison, J C Sheather



This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>



This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics

Member since 2008
JM00026

www.publicationethics.org/



When you have finished with this please recycle it