

# Journal of Medical Ethics



## Contents

### The concise argument

- 589 Words *J McMillan*


### Clinical ethics

- 590 Unethical informed consent caused by overlooking poorly measured nocebo effects *J Howick*

### Current controversies

- 595 What's yours is ours: waiving intellectual property protections for COVID-19 vaccines *N S Jecker, C A Atuire*
- 599 Who will receive the last ventilator: why COVID-19 policies should not prioritise healthcare workers *D T Chen, L Shepherd, J Taylor, M F Marshall*
- 603 Limits of remote working: the ethical challenges in conducting Mental Health Act assessments during COVID-19  
*L Schölin, M Connolly, G Morgan, L Dunlop, M Deshpande, A Chopra*

### Feature article

- 608  Novel drug candidates targeting Alzheimer's disease: ethical challenges with identifying the relevant patient population *E Gustavsson, P Raaschou, G Lärjars, L Sandman, N Juth*
- 615 Selecting the target population for new Alzheimer drugs: challenges and expectations *E Richard*


## September 2021 Volume 47 Issue 9

- 617 Commentary to 'Novel drug candidates targeting Alzheimer's disease: ethical challenges with identifying the relevant patient population' *M Eriksdotter*

### Original research

- 618 Join the Lone Kidney Club: incentivising live organ donation *A Glas*

### Extended essays

- 623 Justice in COVID-19 vaccine prioritisation: rethinking the approach *R Rhodes*
- 632  Are emotional support animals prosthetics or pets? Body-like rights to emotional support animals *S Kolmes*

### Responses

- 639 Emotional support animals are not like prosthetics: a response to Sara Kolmes  
*J du Toit, D Benatar*
- 641 Fine-tuning the impairment argument  
*B P Blackshaw, P Hendricks*
- 643 Military metaphors and pandemic propaganda: unmasking the betrayal of 'Healthcare Heroes'  
*Z Khan, Y Iwai, S DasGupta*
- 645 Red herrings, circuit-breakers and ageism in the COVID-19 debate *D R Lawrence, J Harris*

### Ethics briefing

- 648 Medical ethics and law for doctors of tomorrow: the consensus statement restructured and refined for the next decade  
*P Vivekananda-Schmidt, C Hooper*



This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>



This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics

Member since 2008  
JM00026

[www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)



When you have finished with this please recycle it