

# Journal of Medical Ethics



## Contents

May 2021 Volume 47 Issue 5

### Concise argument

- 289 Triage, consent and trusting black boxes  
*K Boyd*

### COVID-19 current controversies

- 291 Should age matter in COVID-19 triage? A deliberative study  
*M N I Kuylen, S Y Kim, A Ruck Keene, G S Owen*

- 296 Public health decisions in the COVID-19 pandemic require more than 'follow the science'  
*T C de Campos-Rudinsky, E Undurraga*

- 300 National health system cuts and triage decisions during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy and Spain: ethical implications  
*M P Faggioni, F J González-Melado, M L Di Pietro*

- 308 Vaccine ethics: an ethical framework for global distribution of COVID-19 vaccines  
*N S Jecker, A G Wightman, D S Diekema*

- 318 Balancing health worker well-being and duty to care: an ethical approach to staff safety in COVID-19 and beyond  
*R J McDougall, L Gillam, D Ko, I Holmes, C Delany*

### Clinical ethics

- 324 Gender dysphoria in adolescents: can adolescents or parents give valid consent to puberty blockers?  
*S Giordano, F Garland, S Holm*

### Feature article

- 329 Who is afraid of black box algorithms? On the epistemological and ethical basis of trust in medical AI  
*J M Durán, K R Jongasma*

- 336 Concerning a seemingly intractable feature of the accountability gap  
*B Lang*

- 337 Trustworthy medical AI systems need to know when they don't know  
*T Grote*

- 339 We might be afraid of black-box algorithms  
*C Véliz, C Prunkel, M Phillips-Brown, T M Lechterman*

- 341 Transparent AI: reliabilist and proud  
*A Mishra*

### Original research

- 343 Process of risk assessment by research ethics committees: foundations, shortcomings and open questions  
*P Rudra, C Lenk*

- 350 Imperfect by design: the problematic ethics of surgical training  
*C Brenna, S Das*

### Responses

- 355 In defence of gestatelings: response to Colgrove  
*E Kingma*

- 357 Is one narrative enough? Analytical tools should match the problems they address  
*N Hodson, S Bewley*

- 360 Ethical considerations for protecting the options of subjects in primary epidemic vaccine trials  
*A L Caplan, J L Abraham*



This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>



This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics

Member since 2008  
JM00026

[www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)



When you have finished with this please recycle it