

# Journal of Medical Ethics



## Contents

### The concise argument

- 713** Lockdown, public good and equality during COVID-19 *L Frith*

### Guest editorial

- 715** COVID-19 and beyond: the ethical challenges of resetting health services during and after public health emergencies *P Baines, H Draper, A Chiumento, S Fovargue, L Frith*

### Covid 19 Current Controversies

- 717** Why lockdown of the elderly is not ageist and why levelling down equality is wrong *J Savulescu, J Cameron*
- 722** Lockdown and levelling down: why Savulescu and Cameron are mistaken about selective isolation of the elderly *J A Hughes*
- 724** How to overcome lockdown: selective isolation versus contact tracing *L White, P van Basshuysen*
- 726** Maternal request caesareans and COVID-19: the virus does not diminish the importance of choice in childbirth *E C Romanis, A Nelson*
- 732** Ethics of sharing medical knowledge with the community: is the physician responsible for medical outreach during a pandemic? *R D Strous, T Karni*
- 736** Are healthcare workers obligated to risk themselves during the COVID-19 pandemic according to Jewish law? A response to Solnica *et al A Gold*

### Clinical ethics

- 738** Three scenarios illustrating ethical concerns when considering bariatric surgery in obese adolescents with Prader-Willi syndrome *M L Di Pietro, D Zage*

### Feature article

- 743** Forever young? The ethics of ongoing puberty suppression for non-binary adults *L Notini, B D Earp, L Gillam, R J McDougall, J Savulescu, M Telfer, K C Pang*

## November 2020 Volume 46 Issue 11

- 753** Who is Phoenix? *R D Angelo*
- 755** New way of being a person? *B Wren*
- 757** Commentary on: 'Forever young? The ethics of ongoing puberty suppression for non-binary adults' *A Lemma*
- 759** 'Harm threshold': capacity for decision-making may be reduced by long-term pubertal suppression *L Nahaia, G P Quinn*
- 761** Identity, well-being and autonomy in ongoing puberty suppression for non-binary adults: a response to the commentaries *L Notini, B D Earp, L Gillam, J Savulescu, M Telfer, K C Pang*

### Original research


- 763** Uncovering social structures and informational prejudices to reduce inequity in delivery and uptake of new molecular technologies *S Filoche, P Stone, F Cram, S Bacharach, A Dowell, D Sika-Paotonu, A Beard, J Ormandy, C Buchanan, M Thunders, K Dew*
- 768** Protecting health privacy even when privacy is lost *T J Kasperbauer*


### Extended essay

- 773** Decision-making at the border of viability: determining the best interests of extremely preterm infants *E Vogelstein*

### Responses

- 781** Why public funding for non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) might still be wrong: a response to Bunnik and colleagues *D Schmitz*
- 783** Why NIPT should be publicly funded *E M Bunnik, A Kater-Kuipers, R-J H Galjaard, I de Beaufort*
- 785** Impact of gender bias on women surgeons: a South African perspective *S L Wall, K Troisi*
- 787** Gender, gestation and ectogenesis: self-determination for pregnant people ahead of artificial wombs *C Horn*

 This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>

 This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics [www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)

 When you have finished with this please recycle it