

# Journal of Medical Ethics



## Contents

### The concise argument

- 285 The concise argument: the importance of consent and choice *J McMillan*

### Current Controversy

- 287 Needs must: living donor liver transplantation from an HIV-positive mother to her HIV-negative child in Johannesburg, South Africa  
 OPEN ACCESS  
 EDITOR'S CHOICE  
*H R Etheredge, J Fabian, M Duncan, F Conradie, C Tiemessen, J Botha*

### Original research

- 291 The biobank consent debate: Why 'meta-consent' is not the solution? *N C Manson*
- 295 The biobank consent debate: why 'meta-consent' is still the solution! *T Ploug, S Holm*
- 299 Informed consent for functional MRI research on comatose patients following severe brain injury: balancing the social benefits of research against patient autonomy *T Bruni, M Graham, L Norton, T Gofion, A M Owen, C Weijer*  
 OPEN ACCESS
- 304 The Two tragedies argument *W Simkulet*
- 309 Canadian neurosurgeons' views on medical assistance in dying (MAID): a cross-sectional survey of Canadian Neurosurgical Society (CNSS) members *A Althagafi, C Ekong, B W Wheelock, R Moulton, P Gorman, K Reddy, S Christie, I Fleetwood, S Barry*
- 314 We don't need unilateral DNRs: taking informed non-dissent one step further  
*D Real de Asúa, K Lee, P Koch, I de Melo-Martín, T Bibler*

## May 2019 Volume 45 Issue 5

- 318 Differential payment to research participants in the same study: an ethical analysis *G Persad, H Fernandez Lynch, E Largent*


### Extended essay


- 323 Obesity, equity and choice *T M Wilkinson*
- 329 Equity and preventive regulations  
*E Fenton*
- 331 Should the deceased be listed as authors?  
*G Helgesson, W Bülow, S Eriksson, T E Godskeesen*
- 339 Ethics of crisis sedation: questions of performance and consent *N Emmerich, B Gordijn*


### Student essay

- 346 Is it ethical to provide IVF add-ons when there is no evidence of a benefit if the patient requests it? *M S Zemyarska*

### Response

- 351 In response to Ballantyne and Schaefer's 'Consent and the ethical duty to participate in health data research'  
*N Heggul, K E Sleeman, A M Firth, A Johnston, J T H Teo, W Bernal, R J B Dobson, I J Higgison*  
 OPEN ACCESS
- 353 Taxonomy of justifications for consent waivers: When and why are public views relevant? *A Ballantyne, G O Scafer*

 This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>

 This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics  
Member since 2008 [www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)  
JM00026

 equator network

 recycle  
When you have finished with this please recycle it