

# Journal of Medical Ethics



## Contents

### The concise argument

- 285** The concise argument: the importance of consent and choice *J McMillan*

### Current Controversy

- 287** Needs must: living donor liver transplantation from an HIV-positive mother to her HIV-negative child in Johannesburg, South Africa  
 *H R Etheredge, J Fabian, M Duncan, F Conradie, C Tiemessen, J Botha*

### Original research

- 291** The biobank consent debate: Why 'meta-consent' is not the solution? *N C Manson*
- 295** The biobank consent debate: why 'meta-consent' is still the solution! *T Ploug, S Holm*
- 299** Informed consent for functional MRI research on comatose patients following severe brain injury: balancing the social benefits of research against patient autonomy *T Bruni, M Graham, L Norton, T Gofion, A M Owen, C Weijer*  

- 304** The Two tragedies argument *W Simkulet*
- 309** Canadian neurosurgeons' views on medical assistance in dying (MAID): a cross-sectional survey of Canadian Neurosurgical Society (CNSS) members *A Althagafi, C Ekong, B W Wheelock, R Moulton, P Gorman, K Reddy, S Christie, I Fleetwood, S Barry*

- 314** We don't need unilateral DNRs: taking informed non-dissent one step further  
*D Real de Asúa, K Lee, P Koch, I de Melo-Martín, T Bibler*

## May 2019 Volume 45 Issue 5

- 318** Differential payment to research participants in the same study: an ethical analysis *G Persad, H Fernandez Lynch, E Largent*


### Extended essay


- 323** Obesity, equity and choice *T M Wilkinson*
- 329** Equity and preventive regulations  
*E Fenton*
- 331** Should the deceased be listed as authors?  
*G Helgesson, W Bülow, S Eriksson, T E Godskeesen*
- 339** Ethics of crisis sedation: questions of performance and consent *N Emmerich, B Gordijn*


### Student essay

- 346** Is it ethical to provide IVF add-ons when there is no evidence of a benefit if the patient requests it? *M S Zemyarska*

### Response

- 351** In response to Ballantyne and Schaefer's 'Consent and the ethical duty to participate in health data research'  
*N Heggul, K E Sleeman, A M Firth, A Johnston, J T H Teo, W Bernal, R J B Dobson, I J Higginson*  

- 353** Taxonomy of justifications for consent waivers: When and why are public views relevant? *A Ballantyne, G O Scafer*

 This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals open access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>

 This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics  
Member since 2008 JMO0026 [www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)

 equator network

 recycle  
When you have finished with this please recycle it