

Journal of Medical Ethics



Receive regular table of contents by email.
Register using this QR code.



Contents

The concise argument

- 287 The right to know and genetic testing *M Sheehan*

Editorial

- 289 Being good enough to prevent the worst
M Hauskeller

Clinical ethics

- 291 A narrative review of the empirical evidence on public attitudes on brain death and vital organ transplantation: the need for better data to inform policy *S K Shah, K Kasper, F G Miller*
- 297 Abandoning the dead donor rule? A national survey of public views on death and organ donation *M Nair-Collins, S R Green, A R Sutin*
- 303 Talking about death is not the same as communicating about death *S J Youngner*
- 304 Coercion and choice in parent-child live kidney donation *P Burnell, S-A Hulton, H Draper*

Genetics

- 310 Direct-to-consumer genomics on the scales of autonomy *E Vayena*
- 315 Do genomic tests enhance autonomy?
E M Bunnik
- 317 Revealing the results of whole-genome sequencing and whole-exome sequencing in research and clinical investigations: some ethical issues *N Hallowell, A Hall, C Alberg, R Zimmern*
- 322 Personal utility in genomic testing: is there such a thing? *E M Bunnik, A C J W Janssens, M H N Schermer*

April 2015 Volume 41 Issue 4

Research ethics


- 327 Understanding, interests and informed consent: a reply to Sreenivasan *D Bromwich*
- 332 Results of a self-assessment tool to assess the operational characteristics of research ethics committees in low- and middle-income countries *H Silverman, H Sleem, K Moodley, N Kumar, S Naidoo, T Subramanian, R Jaafar, M Moni*


Author meets critics

- 338 Summary of *Unfit for the Future* *I Persson, J Savulescu*
- 340 On not taking men as they are: reflections on moral bioenhancement *P Casal*
- 343 Moral bioenhancement is dangerous *N Agar*
- 346 Are we unfit for the future? *T L Beauchamp*
- 348 Reply to commentators on *Unfit for the Future* *I Persson, J Savulescu*

Brief reports

- 353 Finnish physicians' attitudes towards active euthanasia have become more positive over the last 10 years *P Louhiala, H Enkovaara, H Halila, H Pälve, J Vänskä*
- 356 Conflict of interest disclosure and the polarisation of scientific communities
T Ploug, S Holm

 This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals Open Access scheme. See <http://jme.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml#open>

C O P E COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics
<http://publicationethics.org/>

 equator network

 recycle
When you have finished with this please recycle it