

Journal of Medical Ethics



Receive regular table of contents by email.
Register using this QR code.



Contents

The concise argument

- 65 The biomedical enhancement of moral status
R Powell

Feature article

- 67 Why is it possible to enhance moral status
and why doing so is wrong? *N Agar*

Commentaries

- 75 The harms of status enhancement could be
compensated or outweighed:
a response to Agar *T Douglas*
- 76 The moral status of post-persons *M Hauskeller*
- 77 Is Agar biased against 'post-persons'? *I Persson*
- 78 Devoured by our own children: the possibility
and peril of moral status enhancement
D Wasserman
- 80 The perils of post-persons *RJ Sparrow*
- 81 Still afraid of needy post-persons *N Agar*

Clinical ethics

- 84 Photographing human subjects in biomedical
disciplines: an Islamic perspective *S Saidun*
- 89 Spontaneous abortion and unexpected death:
a critical discussion of Marquis on abortion
M C Coleman
- 94 Documented consent process for implantable
cardioverter-defibrillators and implications for
end-of-life care in older adults *A Niewald,*
J Broxterman, T Rosell, S Rigler
- 98 Ethics policy review: a case study in quality
Improvement *A N Frolic, K Drolet, The HHS Policy*
Working Group

February 2013 Volume 39 Issue 2

Global medical ethics

- 104 Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of a
family booklet on comfort care in dementia:
sensitive topics revised before implementation
J T van der Sleen, C M P M Hertogh, T de Graas,
M Nakanishi, F Toscani, M Arcand

Research ethics


- 110 Ethics and eplerenone *S Gupta, A J Fugh-Berman,*
A Scialli
- 115 Internet-based crowdsourcing and research
ethics: the case for IRB review *M A Graber,*
A Graber
- 119 Reporting of patient consent in healthcare
cluster randomised trials is associated with
the type of study interventions and publication
characteristics *A McRae, M Taljaard, C Weijer,*
C Bennett, Z Skea, R Boruch, J Brehaut, M Eccles,
J Grimshaw, A Donner


Brief report

- 125 Medico-legal and ethical aspects of nasal
fractures secondary to assault: do we owe
a duty of care to advise patients to have
a facial x-ray? *M-C Jaberou, J Joseph, G Korgaonkar,*
K Mylvaganam, B Adams, M Keene

PostScript

- 126 Correction

 This article has been chosen by the editor to
be of special interest or importance and is
freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available
Online under the BMJ Journals Open
Access scheme. See [http://jme.bmj.com/
site/about/guidelines.xhtml#open](http://jme.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml#open)

C O P E COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

This journal is a member of and subscribes to
the principles of the Committee on Publication
Ethics
<http://www.publicationethics.org/>

 equator
network

 recycle
When you have finished
with this please recycle it