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Abstract

Objectives—To identify ethical dilemmas experienced by occupational and physical therapists working in the UK National Health Service (NHS). To compare ethical contexts, themes and principles across the two groups.

Design—A structured questionnaire was circulated to the managers of occupational and physical therapy services in England and Wales.

Subjects—The questionnaires were given to 238 occupational and 249 physical therapists who conformed to set criteria.

Results—Ethical dilemmas experienced during the previous six months were reported by 118 occupational and 107 physical therapists. The two groups were similar in age, grade, and years of experience. Fifty of the occupational therapy dilemmas occurred in mental health settings but no equivalent setting emerged for physical therapy.

Different ethical themes emerged between the two groups, with the most common in occupational therapy being difficult/dangerous behaviour in patients and unprofessional staff behaviour, and for physical therapists resource limitations and treatment effectiveness. No differences were found in the ethical principles used.

Conclusion—The ethical dilemmas reported by the therapists were primarily concerned with health care ethics, rather than the more dramatic ethics reported in much of the biomedical ethics literature.

Differences were found between the two professional groups when ethical contexts and themes were compared but not when ethical principles were compared. This suggests that educators and researchers need to be aware of work settings and the interdisciplinary nature of employment as well as ethical principles held by individual therapists.
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Introduction

Little is known about the ethical dilemmas occupational therapists and physical therapists encounter at work. In contrast there is a substantial literature about medical dilemmas, and an increasing literature about nursing dilemmas. Recent studies have identified dilemmas with aspects particular to therapists. For occupational therapists these include the risky discharge of patients from hospital, resource limitations, and poor standards of care. For physical therapists these include effectiveness of therapy, conflict between the patients’ wishes and professional judgment, and refusal of therapy. Without case material which reflects these realities it is difficult for professional organisations to produce ethical codes, for educators to prepare students for practice, and for clinicians to benefit from the experience of others.

In practice the material to which therapists have access is primarily from biomedical and health care ethics literature. Case examples from such literature may be given little attribution other than “drawn from wider experience”, “books”, “personal experience”, “stories told by nurses and their colleagues” and “cases reported by students” or “adopted from published legal cases”, “cases submitted by colleagues”, “a news report” or “prepared for this volume”. The reader cannot know if the cases given are typical or atypical of most practitioners’ experience, or so distorted by the need to design an example to illustrate a moral principle that there is little of use to the therapist. A number of case examples appear to be selected for their heroic or dramatic possibilities rather than for their relevance to the day-to-day general ethical problems which beset most health workers.

Uncertainty about the relevance of case material in bioethics literature has gained some support from a study by Christakis and Feudtner in Philadelphia, which confirmed that cases described in
the existing literature were of dubious relevance to medical students. These researchers asked 152 medical students in the final clinical year of training to describe ethical dilemmas they encountered at work. Results included: performing medical procedures in which the student was not skilled; being a "team player" and having concerns about how decision-making and responsibility were abused; getting close to patients who had confided social and emotional material to the student, and witnessing unethical behaviour.

Similar day-to-day issues were found in a study by Udén et al where nurses and doctors told different kinds of stories about ethical difficulties, suggesting that work tasks and professional philosophies of the two professions were different. For nurses, themes included a focus on health, previous experience, closeness to the patient, quality of life and being supported by colleagues. For doctors there was a focus on disease and scientific knowledge, distance from the patient, preserving life, and being on one's own.

As none of the published studies included therapists, and as there was some evidence that differences in dilemmas and interpretation of dilemmas existed between professional groups, the following study was carried out.

Method

A four-page questionnaire was designed, based on the results of a pilot study. There were three sections: 1) biographical data, 2) report of an ethical dilemma, and 3) ranking of ethical principles. Completed questionnaires were subject to three stages of analysis: first, a description of the respondents, second, content analysis of the dilemmas, and third, the collation of data related to ethical principles. Independent judges were used to enhance the reliability of the content analysis.

Sampling

The physical therapy sample was obtained through a list of service managers supplied by the Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. These numbered 747. A similar list was not available for occupational therapy and was compiled from the job vacancy column of the professional journal, the register of the Occupational Therapists' Board, and telephone sampling using The Hospitals Year Book. The resulting sample numbered 714. From these prepared lists a one-in-three sample of therapy service managers was randomly selected. The final sample numbers were 238 occupational therapy and 249 physical therapy managers. Each of these was asked to give the questionnaire to a member of their staff who fitted the criteria given in an accompanying letter, the main one being "majority of time spent treating patients".

Procedure

Subjects who had received the questionnaire were asked to write down the story of an ethical dilemma experienced at work during the previous six months. Questions were then asked about the setting and outcome of the dilemma, the people involved, decisions taken, and the period over which the dilemma ran. Subjects then allocated "their" story to a set of ethical principles provided in tabular form. These principles were then ranked ordered. Subjects were also asked to give biographical information including age, grade, and years of experience.

Results

Questionnaires were returned by 361 therapists, 182 occupational therapists and 179 physical therapists, a 76% and 72% response rate respectively.

Of those returned, 52 occupational therapists and 61 physical therapists reported no ethical dilemma in the past six months. A further 23 gave limited information and were excluded from the analysis. This left 118 occupational therapists and 107 physical therapists in the sample.

Sample description

The sample comprised 15 men and 199 women, of whom 70% of the occupational therapists and 69% of the physical therapists were aged between 26 and 40.

The time since qualification was 13 years or less for 67% of the occupational therapists and 52% of the physical therapists.

The majority of respondents were employed at senior II, senior I or equivalent grades: 75% of the occupational therapists and 83% of the physical therapists.

Setting in which the dilemmas occurred

Data was analysed by clinical specialty (table), work setting and patient age band.

The most common work settings where the dilemmas occurred were community, 48%, and acute hospital, 31%, for the occupational therapists, and primary health care, 28%, and acute hospital, 62%, for the physical therapists.

The most common age bands where ethical dilemmas were reported were with adult and elderly patients.
Table 1 Clinical specialties where the dilemma occurred

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical specialty</th>
<th>Occupational therapy</th>
<th>Physical therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disability</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedics</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S's</td>
<td>101*</td>
<td>102**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other categories with five or less responses were Cardiovascular and Oncology for occupational therapists.
**Other categories with five or less responses were Cardiovascular, Intensive Care, Oncology, and Obstetrics and Gynaecology for physical therapists.

THEMATIC ANALYSIS OF STORIES

The therapists’ stories were analysed using content analysis. Reliability of the main themes identified by the researcher was tested by two independent judges. Agreement was found in 84% (Judge 1) and 91% (Judge 2) of the cases. The remaining cases were negotiated to agreement.

The themes identified in the therapists’ stories are given in tables 2 and 3.

Quotations which illuminate the themes are given below. Some fit more than one theme, and where this is the case the example has been allocated to the dominant theme.

OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY THEMES

Difficult or dangerous behaviour in patients

“My client was a child who was severely mentally handicapped and his behaviour was causing serious difficulties in the family home, scratching, banging, tearing at family members and their pos-

Table 2 Themes identified in occupational therapy N=111

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Number of instances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficult/dangerous behaviour in patients</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unprofessional/incompetent staff</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of respect for vulnerable patients</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult/risky decisions about patient discharge</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfair allocation/lack of resources</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of confidentiality/privacy for the patient</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of respect for the therapists’ opinions</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S’s</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lack of respect for vulnerable patients

“The patient was walking round the ward and in public areas with a urine bag on a stand. Usual practice was for mobile patients to have a leg bag and I asked the ward for one but was told that they didn’t have one and that the patient was too confused to know.”

“Photographs were taken of patients with, I assume, the relatives’ permission, and then used in publicity and for discussion groups. One of the photographs was of John who had died but no attempt was made to remove his photograph. In a reminiscence group I was tempted to say ‘This is John and he died four months ago’.”

Other issues included: patients and patients’ relatives stealing personal possessions; lack of contraceptive advice to sexually active patients, mainly people with mental health problems or learning disabilities, and abortions “without any effort to gain consent”.

Table 3 Themes identified in physical therapy N=106

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Number of instances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfair allocation/lack of resources</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment appropriateness/effectiveness</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unprofessional/incompetent staff</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not telling the truth</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of respect for the therapists’ opinions</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult patients</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S’s</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Difficult/risky decisions about patient discharge
Most of the examples in this theme were to do with discharge of elderly patients from hospital back to their own homes.

“This elderly lady had been falling a lot at home and since she came into hospital. Despite a careful assessment in hospital and a home visit, both of which concluded she was unsafe, the rest of the team decided she should be discharged. She fell the next day and fractured her femur.”

“The patient was dementing and showed that she was not safe to live on her own when we carried out a home visit. However, my opinion was disregarded in favour of hers - she was re-admitted two days later.”

Issues around discharge were influenced by resources available for support in the community, and the wishes and behaviour of relatives and carers. Several examples were given where relatives or carers did not want elderly people back home, but had not told the patient. In extreme cases locks had been changed on house doors, no one was in to receive the patient, or the patient was accepted home but confined to, or locked in, a room.

Physical therapy themes
Unfair allocation or lack of resources
The two main dilemmas revolved around the effect of general practice fundholding, and staff reductions in Trust hospitals, both of which could lead to services being withdrawn or restricted.

“In the wards where I work, I have to check if the patient has insurance or a fundholding general physician. If they do, they get priority treatment as this is part of the contract. In practice, because of limits on staff, the other patients don’t receive physical therapy at all.”

“A policy was instituted that all patients with certain conditions should be discharged within so many days. This was part of costed care packages. Discharge took place regardless of the patient’s need for more treatment, or to a greater extent, their home circumstances.”

In the cases given above, the respondents gave as their primary concern the delivery of poor services because of lack of money, staff, and to an extent, poor management of the service. This links in closely with the next category of dilemma.

Treatment effectiveness
The examples given dealt with patients receiving or not receiving effective treatment. While some of the examples link to resources, the issues emerging here were around: professional decision-making; whether treatment should be given or not; how much treatment should be given, and evidence that the treatment given would be effective.

“We spend time and resources on multiply handicapped children who will make minimal progress at best, and never be independent. Is it reasonable to put valuable physical therapy resources here when the same effort would get several adults back home or back to work.”

“I carried on treating a patient who was clearly going to die, because I felt it was my duty as a physical therapist. When he died I often wondered if I caused him unnecessary distress in his last hours. Now I would leave the person in peace.”

Unprofessional or incompetent staff
The common theme for this set of examples was of poor or dangerous treatment delivered by staff other than the physical therapist. The dilemma was how the physical therapist should act to limit the perceived damage.

“The doctor misdiagnosed the patient and asked for inappropriate treatment. Despite several approaches he refused to change his opinion. Finally we had to advise the patient to get a second opinion, which confirmed our view.”

“In one of our units several of the staff verbally abuse patients who are elderly and mentally ill. Part of me hopes that the patients are too demented to notice, and part of me wants to get something done about it. We have approached the managers who don’t want to know.”

Other examples given of unprofessional behaviour were refusal of treatment to patients who were in a research control group, racist remarks about patients, and therapists who kept patients in treatment despite “being in a relationship with them.”

Not telling the truth
The issues described here were mainly to do with giving patients their diagnosis and linked to this, whether the disease was life-threatening.

“The patient had a fracture but this was due to widespread cancer. I asked the general practitioner and the family if she could be told but they both refused. She was not allowed to prepare for death with dignity which I feel is a respectful way to treat people.”

“The patient had reached the stage where she couldn’t swallow. His wife threatened to sue the hospital if any of us told him he was dying.”
times, and had repeated episodes. This is unlike many published cases where discrete stories, with few participants, and a clear beginning and ending, are in the majority.25 26

Differences emerged between the occupational and physical therapy samples when the contexts of the dilemma were considered. The most common setting for dilemmas in occupational therapy was mental health, with physical therapy being divided between respiratory, neurological, orthopaedic and surgical settings.

Stories about dilemmas in mental health included elderly people who were “confused” and those in the “early stages of dementia”. Thus the category mental health was not coterminous with psychiatry. Similar categorisation issues appeared for physical therapists, with patients being allocated to the primary referral category, for example “chest physical therapy”, despite having additional neurological or orthopaedic diagnoses.

Whilst there is a literature about ethical issues in neurology and surgery which is of relevance to physical therapists, literature on mental health for the occupational therapists is more difficult to find.

The literature which does exist is predominantly about people classed as “incompetent” for legal purposes, or those who exhibit dangerous behaviour. The needs of workers in mental health settings for information about other issues is poorly addressed. Exceptions include Block and Chodoff27 who write about ethics in psychiatry, Hanley and Gilhooley,28 who write about ethics and therapy for the elderly mentally ill, and Barker and Baldwin29 who write about ethical practice in mental illness, learning disability and the elderly.

It had been expected that occupational therapists would experience most dilemmas in long term, and physical therapists in shorter term, settings. In the event sixty-two per cent of the physical therapists gave dilemmas in acute settings as expected, however, occupational therapists gave only eight per cent of the dilemmas in long-stay settings. Reasons for limited use of the long-stay category included working across several services during the dilemma, and a current preoccupation with political correctness. Some therapists reported that they felt pressured to refer to “several acute episodes” for a single patient rather than describe it as “long term”.

Apart from context, differences also arose between the therapists when the narratives were thematically analysed. Themes which were found in the occupational therapy sample only were: “dangerous patients”; “vulnerable patients”; “risky decision-making” and “confidentiality and privacy for the patient”. Themes for the physical

### Table 4  Principles used by occupational therapists and physical therapists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT N rank</td>
<td>PT N rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice: Patient</td>
<td>72 (1)</td>
<td>76 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights: Patient</td>
<td>65 (2)</td>
<td>54 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing harm/not</td>
<td>55 (3)</td>
<td>49 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights: Staff</td>
<td>46 (4)</td>
<td>45 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>41 (5)</td>
<td>39 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice: Staff</td>
<td>35 (6)</td>
<td>44 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Occupational therapists N=114. Physical therapists N=103.

**ALLOCATION TO PRINCIPLES**

Analysis of the dilemmas by ethical principle is shown in table 4. The data allocation and ranking were carried out by the therapists themselves.

Additional principles used by five or fewer therapists were truth-telling, confidentiality and not doing good. Differences in frequency and importance between the occupational therapists and the physical therapists were not significant.

**Discussion**

The study clarified some of the ethical issues currently facing occupational and physical therapists in the United Kingdom health services. Dilemmas were primarily about day-to-day ethics rather than dramatic ethics. Therapists’ dilemmas had more in common with those experienced by medical students, in community medicine and in nursing than the more widely reported medical topics such as end of life decisions. One reason for this may be that the relatively mundane field of health care ethics is not as interesting to the media as euthanasia, surrogate, or genetic engineering. A second reason, identified by Caplan, Callahan and Haas in a *Hastings Center Report*, was that in rehabilitation ethical problems were difficult to define and were “complex and elusive”. This confirmed the earlier views of Thomasma and Pisaneschi who found that dilemmas encountered by allied health professionals were “extremely difficult everyday dilemmas” and the temptation was for medical ethicists to move “towards exotic cases”.

The complexity of cases experienced by the therapists was evident. Decision-making often involved several participants, leading to the need for consensus before action could be taken. The potential to act as a single moral agent was limited. Therapists reported dilemmas which ran over long timescales, had no obvious start or finish, involved different participants at different

---

**Note:** The text is a scientific excerpt discussing ethical dilemmas in occupational and physical therapy, focusing on themes, frequency, and allocation of principles. It highlights the complexity of ethical issues in everyday practice and the challenges faced by therapists in managing these dilemmas. The text is part of a larger study that examines the ethical challenges in these fields. The data collection and analysis are conducted through a method that captures the nuances of ethical dilemmas, allowing for a deeper understanding of the ethical landscape in these professions.
therapy sample only were: “treatment appropriateness and effectiveness”, and “not telling the truth”.

A theme which was found in both groups but which had a higher incidence in occupational therapy than physical therapy was “difficult patients”. Physical therapists had as the most common theme “unfair allocation or lack of resources”, while this accounted for half the number in occupational therapy. Both groups were similarly concerned about “unprofessional or incompetent staff” and a “lack of respect for the therapists’ opinions”.

In matters to do with incompetent staff, therapists were concerned about staff from other disciplines treating patients badly, but in addition physical therapists had a concern about other professionals carrying out “their” unique skills badly. On the subject of difficult patients the examples from physical therapists were mainly around patients who refused therapy; would not cooperate with therapy, or who made sexual comments and demands. For occupational therapists the examples were around restraint and control of dangerous behaviour and patients who were not able or willing to look after themselves. Sexual expression was raised by the occupational therapists with regard to the rights of people with learning disabilities and mental health problems.

Differences emerged about lack of resources, with the occupational therapists having concerns about aids, equipment and services for people being discharged to, or living in the community; while the physical therapists were concerned about the impact of fundholding general practices on the level of service being offered. Both professions were concerned about the reduction of services and staffing due to cost constraints throughout the health service.

No differences emerged between the two therapist groups when use of ethical principles was compared, with the two highest ranks for both being “justice for the patient” and “rights of the patient”.

Methodologically, a number of limitations were found in this study. First, the nature of the written story limited the amount of material which was given. Second, in allowing the subjects to carry out the analysis of the story and allocation to ethical principles validity may have been improved but reliability compromised. No equivalent research could be found in which research subjects categorised their own ethical dilemma material.

Conclusion

When ethical dilemma stories experienced by 118 occupational therapists and 107 physical therapists were analysed by principle, no differences emerged, however, when the context of the dilemma and the emergent themes were compared differences did emerge between the two groups. This was partly explained by the different types of patients, professional skills, and settings in which the therapists worked.

In view of these results it can be suggested that research into ethical practice in health care should integrate data about principles held by the moral agent, with data about the practice setting in which ethical dilemmas emerge. If data about moral principles only is collected, the possibility of assuming that normative ethical theory is supported is high, that “all acceptable moral standards of every time and place, can be rationally ordered and explained by reference to some set of fundamental principles”. In contrast if data about ethical dilemma contexts only is collected, the possibility of assuming that non-normative contextual ethical theory is supported is high, that “in medical ethics, most of the real work of resolving moral problems occurred at the level of interpretation and comparison of cases”.

Therapists and other health care workers have little time to address the complex debates between these two schools of thought, and there is a need for literature and case material which reflects the realities of practice.

Rosemary Barnitt, PhD, MSc, BSc, is Reader in the School of Occupational Therapy and Physiotherapy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton.
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News and notes

Ethics and Genetics

The International Programme in Bioethics Education and Research is organising an Advance European Bioethics Course on Ethics and Genetics which will be held from 19-21 November this year in Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

Subjects will include: Ethics and the Human Genome Project; Genetic counselling, and Genetic screening.

For more information please contact: B Gordijn, PhD, Catholic University Nijmegen, 232 Dept of Ethics, Philosophy and History of Medicine, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Telephone: 0031-24-3615320; fax: 0031-24-3540254; internet site: http://www.azn.nl/fmw/news.htm E-mail: b.gordijn@efg.kun.nl

News and notes

Competition

The Student Interest Group of the American Society for Bioethics and the Humanities (formerly the Society for Health and Human Values), is sponsoring a call for student-written papers on subjects related to the medical humanities and bioethics. The winner will receive a $1,000 award and will be expected to present the paper at the 1998 ASBH annual meeting in Houston, Texas, November 17-22.

Papers must be received by July 3, 1998.

For further information please contact: Alexandra Bambas, Institute for the Medical Humanities, Suite 2.210, Ashbel Smith Building, Galveston, TX 77555-1311. Telephone: 409/737-4012; e-mail: abambas@marlin.utmb.edu