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Abstract

Interest in the retrieval of organs from non-heart-beating cadaver donors has been rekindled by the success of transplantation of solid organs and the insufficient supply of donor organs currently obtained from heart-beating cadaver donors. There are currently two retrieval techniques being evaluated, the in situ cold perfusion approach and the controlled death approach. Both, however, raise ethical concerns. Reanimation is a new method which has been used successfully in animals. We believe this new approach overcomes the ethical objections raised to these other methods.

Introduction

It has previously been assumed that hearts retrieved after anoxic arrest would be poor donor organs because of depleted energy stores and reperfusion necrosis. Prompted by success in using paediatric donor hearts which had prolonged periods of arrest with cardiopulmonary resuscitation efforts for up to two hours, we have recently reported on successful “reanimation” of dead, pulseless donor hearts in lambs and baboons. We believe that such reanimation will be technically feasible in humans and is ethically preferable to currently proposed methods of retrieving hearts from non-heart-beating cadaver donors (NHBCDs).

There are several ethical concerns related to organ retrieval from humans. First is the very definition of death, including the philosophical concept, the legal definition, and the clinical determination of death. There has developed a general consensus and a rather scrupulous following of the unwritten “dead donor rule” in that patients must not be killed by or for organ retrieval. However, what constitutes death varies in different jurisdictions. In some countries, notably Denmark and Japan, organ retrieval is not permitted until circulatory death has been determined. There has been gradual acceptance by all jurisdictions in the US of the wording of the Uniform Determination of Death Act (UDDA) which says: “An individual who has sustained either: (1) irreversible cessation of circulatory and respiratory functions; or (2) irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain, including the brainstem, is dead. A determination of death must be made in accordance with acceptable medical standards.” In the United Kingdom, Pallis has proposed that the criterion which best meets the conceptual definition of death of the organism as a whole is brain stem death, i.e., permanent cessation of brain stem functioning only. This concept is used as the basis for diagnosing brain death in the UK.

A second concern is that we should not compromise the care of one living individual for the benefit of another. We do not impose on dying patients who might become organ donors procedures or other burdens which are counter to their best interests in order to help a potential recipient. Third, it is important to provide humane and dignified care for dying patients as well as compassionate care for their family members. Fourth, we should be respectful in our treatment of recently dead bodies.

The primary technical obstacle in organ retrieval, apart from the conceptual and logistic difficulties of declaring death, has been warm ischaemia time. This has generated several proposals and mechanisms to facilitate organ retrieval. Each should be reviewed with the above ethical concerns in mind.

In the 1960s and early 1970s, organ donors were declared dead by cardiopulmonary criteria before organs were retrieved. In 1968, because of insufficient donors, a proposal was put forward to declare death by neurological criteria. Although there was some initial opposition to this concept of so-called “brain death”, neurological criteria for death gradually became accepted. There was a rapid transition in most countries to retrieving organs from heart-beating cadaver donors (HBCD’s). Non-heart-beating cadaver donors were largely abandoned for...
technical, not ethical, reasons. However, the increasing success of transplantation has created a large demand for organs which has not been met by the currently available pool of HBCDs. Attempts have been made to determine the reasons for potential donors failing to become actual donors and to encourage health professionals to act responsibly in correcting this shortage. A recent proposal to increase the salvage of organs from HBCDs by instituting non-therapeutic ventilation of potential organ donors produced the highest rate of organ donation in the United Kingdom and stabilised the number of patients on dialysis, although the method has faced legal challenge.

Because the number of organs currently being retrieved from HBCDs is still insufficient to meet the need, NHBCDs are once again being considered as a source of transplantable organs. It has been projected that, if implemented on a large scale, this might increase the donor pool by 20–25%. It is also expected that this move back to NHBCDs might diminish some of the concern expressed by both lay-people and professionals that organs were being removed before “real” death when HBCDs were used. There has already been reported success in the use of kidneys from NHBCDs.

There are two potential sources for NHBCDs. First is the individual who dies a sudden, unexpected death, usually from head trauma or cardiac arrest. Second, there are patients who die a slower and expected death, such as when life support is withdrawn after a decision by patient or surrogate that continued survival is no longer a reasonable or desired goal. In some of the patients in this latter group, death is inevitable and imminent. In others, continued survival is possible but is not desired because of the burdens of continued existence or poor quality of life, for example, a patient who is ventilator-dependent and has minimal awareness of his or her environment.

There have been two proposals for new methods of retrieval of organs from NHBCDs. In situ cold perfusion has been proposed and used by the Regional Organ Bank of Illinois and controlled death has been proposed and used at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. We offer reanimation as a third option.

**In situ cold perfusion**

In situ cold perfusion has been proposed as a way to retrieve solid organs from NHBCDs after sudden, unexpected death, usually in the emergency room. In this proposal, death is declared using standard cardiopulmonary criteria. Intravascular and intraperitoneal perfusion of cold preservation solution is then instituted to reduce the warm ischaemia time. This perfusion may be continued for several hours before organ retrieval is accomplished. It was initially proposed that consent for the retrieval would be obtained before perfusion was begun. This would have met few, if any, ethical objections. However, major logistic problems of obtaining consent within the few minutes available immediately after death made it impossible to retrieve organs in this fashion. An alternative was proposed, namely to begin cold perfusion without consent in order to preserve for the family the option of donation which could then be discussed during the next few hours.

This proposal raises several ethical objections. The objection which is most germane to this clinical situation is the use of a non-therapeutic invasive procedure without consent. Many believe this constitutes disrespectful treatment of a recently dead body. This same objection has been raised in relation to dissection of human corpses by medical students and post-mortem autopsy to determine the cause of death. These procedures have come to be generally acceptable in most cultural settings since it is felt that the potential benefit to others outweighs the potential disrespect to the deceased. Practising endotracheal intubation on recently dead patients, although not disfiguring, continues to be discomfiting to some and an item of ethical discussion.

If in situ cold perfusion is begun prior to obtaining consent, the consent process might still be somewhat hurried for the acutely grieving family, though perhaps no more so than in many instances of retrieval from heart-beating cadaver donors. This proposal also raises the question of whether the family of the deceased will be informed of the cold perfusion if they decline organ donation. The rapid transition from life-saving therapy to organ preservation could entail significant conflict of interest for medical professionals. This obstacle has been adequately addressed in other proposals for organ Procurement which clearly delineate and separate the functions of caring for the dying patient and organ retrieval.

It is also uncertain what effect this proposal would have on public acceptance of organ donation. It is not unreasonable to expect, however, that public awareness of cold perfusion without consent could lead to a perception of unethical behavior on the part of the procurement team, and this might decrease the willingness of some families to allow their recently deceased relative to be an organ donor.

**Controlled death**

In the Pittsburgh protocol, the time and place of death is controlled in such a manner as to minimise warm ischaemia and optimise conditions for organ retrieval. This procedure may be requested after a decision has been made by the patient or family to withdraw life-support with the expectation that the patient will die. Under this protocol, unhurried consent may be obtained between the time of the decision to withdraw treatment and the actual
implementation of that decision. At the appropriate
time, the patient is moved to the operating room and
prepared for surgery. Life-support is discontinued,
with the organ retrieval team waiting in an adjacent
room. Death is awaited and declared using new
cardiac criteria, and the organs are retrieved.

This proposal was developed methodically over a
period of four years with extensive internal and
external review. The protocol is quite conservative
regarding issues of consent, conflicts of interest, doc-
umentation, and review of the decisions. The
proposal departs dramatically from medical tradi-
ction, however, in its drastic redefinition of death as
"two minutes of pulselessness" which may include
asystole, ventricular fibrillation, or electro-mechani-
disassociation. These new criteria for death have
been called, "gerrymandering", 22 "policy creep", 23
and "an ignoble form of cannibalism." 24 It has been
pointed out that the hearts retrieved in this manner
do not meet the irreversibility criteria of the UDDA.
For instance, these cardiac criteria for death would
not be used or acceptable if applied to the potential
recipient; heroic efforts would likely be used to
resuscitate a patient awaiting an organ if he or she
demonstrated ventricular fibrillation. Defenders of
the protocol insist that these criteria were developed
because of the exceedingly low probability of "auto
resuscitation" (ie spontaneous correction of effective
pulsation), however, this defence has been criticised
because its defenders offer little empiric data to
support their contention. 25

In addition to this major departure from accepted
medical standards, this proposal involves the separa-
tion of the family from the patient during the dying
process and immediately after death. It also raises
the difficulty of predicting the time of death so that both
family and procurement team may have a protracted
wait while separated from the patient and, occasion-
ally patients survive such withdrawal of life-support.
Again, the effect on public acceptance of organ
donation is unknown, but it is not unreasonable to
expect that persons unfamiliar with the details of, or
the reasoning behind, the procedure would perceive
this hurried retrieval as very disconcerting.

Reanimation

Reanimation has been performed successfully in five
baboons. After being anaesthetised, paralyzed, and
extubated, the donor animals demonstrated pulse-
lessness in 7 (± 1) minutes, and asystole followed
9–18 minutes later. The asystolic animals were left
undisturbed for 15–31 minutes after which heart
retrieval was performed and transplantation was
done into recipient baboons. All hearts returned to
sinus rhythm without the use of inotropes. Follow-
up showed good myocardial function and no signifi-
cant ischaemic damage. 4

Reanimation is proposed by the cardiac transplanta-
tion team at Loma Linda University to be used in
situations where a decision is made by a patient, or
more commonly by a family, to withdraw life-
support with the expectation that the patient will die.
A competent patient might make such a decision
when life is being sustained by mechanical ventila-
tion, but he or she finds continued treatment to be
too burdensome in spite of maximal efforts to bring
comfort, and asks that death-postponing therapy be
stopped. Such situations will allow adequate time for
unhurried consent from patient or family, as does the
Pittsburgh protocol. Under this proposal, however,
life-support will be discontinued in the
Intensive Care Unit (ICU), and standard cardiac
criteria will then be used to declare death. After
death is declared, the body could be left undisturbed
at room temperature for 20–30 minutes (or longer).
Organs could then be retrieved right in the ICU.
This proposal would allow the patient’s family to
remain with the patient during the dying process and
for several minutes of personal grieving immediately
after death, without being disturbed by the procure-
ment team.

The objection to this proposal could be raised, as
in the Pittsburgh protocol, that these donors are not
dead because they do not have "irreversible
cessation of circulatory and respiratory function" as
required by the UDDA. Certainly the reanimated
hearts function normally and are therefore not irre-
versibly dead. The significant difference, however,
is that after 20 minutes of asystole, at room tempera-
ture, the standard of care for the organism as a whole
(versus the heart tissue as a separate entity) is to
declare the patient dead by cardiopulmonary criteria
without employing resuscitative efforts. In the
Guidelines on the Termination of Life-Sustaining
Treatment and the Care of the Dying published by the
Hastings Center in 1987, it is stated: "Complete ces-
sation of circulation to the normothermic adult brain
for more than ten minutes is incompatible with
survival of brain tissue". 26

The criteria for the declaration of death prior to
retrieval of hearts in the reanimation procedure is
consistent with those proposed by Bernat, Culver
and Gert. They observe that the UDDA allows two
separate and equal criteria for death. 27 They contend
that conceptually, all death is brain death. They
proposed an alternative statute which would read:
"An individual who has sustained irreversible cessa-
tion of all functions of the entire brain, including the
brain stem, is dead. (a) In the absence of artificial
means of cardiopulmonary support, death may be
determined by the prolonged absence of spontane-
ous circulatory and respiratory functions. (b) In the
presence of artificial means of cardiopulmonary
support, death must be determined by tests of brain
function. In both situations, the determination of
death must be made in accordance with accepted
medical standards". 28

Although they believe their proposal has greater
conceptual clarity than the UDDA, they feel that the
advantage of uniformity among states obtained by following the wording of the UDDA outweighs the potential advantage of attempting to change.

Conclusion

We believe that the proposal for retrieval of solid organs from NHBCDs using this reanimation approach offers several ethical advantages. First, consent is obtained before the protocol is instituted, a major advantage over the in situ cold preservation proposal. Second, using the standard definition of death and accepted standards for declaration of death offers an advantage over the controlled death protocol. We further believe that arranging the retrieval in a manner that will allow the family to be with the patient during and after death is a compassionate measure which will be appreciated by the families and may lessen the potential impact of such a protocol on the general public.

We expect that this method would allow successful solid organ transplantation in adults and children, and by increasing the size of the donor pool will decrease the number of individuals who might otherwise die awaiting the availability of a heart. This proposal has not yet been reviewed by an Institutional Review Board, as is required for research involving human subjects in the US. We offer it here for professional comment.
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News and notes

Lecturer in the Ethics of the New Genetics

Thanks to a generous donation, the Murdoch Institute for Research into Birth Defects is establishing a post to carry out research into ethics in the context of the new advances in molecular genetics.

The Murdoch Institute is the only Australian Institute of Medical Research with a major interest in human molecular genetics which receives block grant funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia. It has an active programme of research, including somatic gene therapy, community screening for risk of Down Syndrome and for carrier status for inherited disease, human artificial chromosomes and many other aspects of contemporary genetics. Its associated clinical arm, the Victorian Clinical Genetics Service, offers clinical care on a hub-and-spoke model for all families with genetic diseases in Victoria and Tasmania, and has done so for thirty years. Its newborn screening programme is regarded as an international model, and it has full diagnostic facilities; it has provided clinical genetic services to over 20,000 families, and offers full training for clinical geneticists, genetic counsellors and molecular geneticists.

The appointment to the post will be at university lecturer level (with the possibility of appointment at senior lecturer grade for an exceptional candidate), for five years (renewable) in the first instance. Full secretarial support, and support for PhD students/research assistants, will be available subject to the usual academic conditions. There will be occasional teaching duties to medical undergraduates at various points in the medical course, and to genetic counsellors and geneticists, but these will be defined so as to allow the major portion of the appointee’s time to be spent carrying out major research programmes in this new area of study. Particularly appropriate areas for research might include ethics of gene therapy, ethics related to genetic screening, autonomy and confidentiality in genetic testing, and the nature of genetic counselling. Applicants would normally be expected to have a post-graduate qualification in ethics and/or medicine and a good research track record. The ability to interact in an open and productive way with colleagues of many different views is essential.

Any person interested in this post should send a full cv, with the names of two academic referees to: Professor Bob Williamson, Director, The Murdoch Institute, PO Box 1100, Parkville 3052, Australia. Fax: 61 3 9348 1391, E-mail: williamb@cryptic.rch.unimelb.edu.au

News and notes

VI European Bioethics Seminar

The sixth European Bioethics Seminar, Health Care Issues in Pluralistic Societies, will be held from August 4-8, 1997, in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. The seminar is organised by the International Program in Bioethics Education and Research. Prominent bioethics scholars from different countries will provide participants with both a formal and practical understanding of contemporary bioethics issues. Special attention will be paid to European traditions in health care ethics. All lectures and plenary sessions will be in English. For more information please contact: Dr B Gordijn, Catholic University of Nijmegen, 232 Dept of Ethics, Philosophy and History of Medicine, PO Box 9101, 6500 HB, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Tel: [31] (0)24–3615320/Fax: [31] (0)24–3540254.