codes’ (page 20), or the confusion of ‘trusting’ with ‘trustworthy’ (page 65). There is also a great deal of generalisation, particularly stereotype in relation to other cultures. It is simply not accurate to say, as the authors do on page 63, that abortion of female fetuses and murder of baby girls in parts of India is the result of ‘a centuries-old tradition, sustained by ceaseless grinding poverty and social convention’. The problem is relatively recent, created not only by new technologies but also by the extension of the formerly upper-class habit of providing large dowries to the status-seeking middle and lower-middle classes. That is what has made girls an expensive liability.

Overall, this is a book which is certainly needed in social work practice, and I hope it succeeds in reaching its principal audience. More academic readers are less likely to find it satisfying.

DONNA DICKENSON
Senior Lecturer, School of Health and Social Welfare, The Open University

Holding On?

Hazel McHaffie, Cheshire, Books for Midwives Press, 1994, 217 pages, £9.95

Holding On? is a powerful novel about a pre-term infant on the edge of viability and the debate about whether he should be kept alive on life-support. It will make a captivating read for health care professionals and anyone with a more academic interest in this complex subject.

The book is beautifully written. Each character is convincingly portrayed and their individual experience skillfully brought to bear on the ethical dilemma being examined. Hazel McHaffie succeeds in creating a world where the reader is able to empathise with many opposing ethical viewpoints through the lives of those associated with the fictional neonatal unit. The perspectives of the parents, consultant, registrar, junior doctor, staff midwife, nurses, chaplain and social worker are all examined. The writer uses the device of the different ‘voices’ of the characters to present strong arguments and counterarguments in the debate about continued life-support. The latter is a trait which Gillon (1) believes is ‘at the heart of bioethics’. The work therefore deserves to be recognised as a strong contribution to the field of medical ethics. The issues concerning euthanasia, quality of life, paternalism and rights are all explored. These occur within the context of societal taboos about death, personal experiences of bereavement and infertility, strong religious beliefs and differing personalities.

The result is a thought-provoking book that lives in the memory.

The novel is ambitious in its attempt seriously to address so many ethical questions in such a compact and unusual format. Once or twice there is a slightly contrived feel. This occurs both in establishing the characters at the start and in the extent to which so many of them share a personal investment in the question of whether to discontinue life-support. All that is required of the reader is a momentary effort consciously to suspend disbelief, a task that will not be arduous given the quality of the writing. Neither will the effort go unrewarded, given the important insights the novel has to offer.

Reference


ALISON DINES
Department of Nursing Studies, King’s College, London

Healthy respect:
ethics in health care
(2nd ed)

R S Downie and K C Calman, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1994, xviii+ 291 pages, £35.00 hard cover

When the first edition of this book appeared, serious provision of ethics teaching for medical doctors was, in Britain, effectively confined to specialist postgraduate courses. Eight years later, the provision of such teaching at the medical undergraduate level is still a rarity. Healthy Respect, whilst aimed more widely than at clinical students alone, has during that time offered such students and their teachers a distinct, synoptic and concise resource for exploring the moral questions typical of health care practice. It still does, and its second edition is to be welcomed as much for continuing that provision as for the few revisions or enlargements that it contains. The authors’ introduction specifies their overall aim as being to ‘contribute to the improvement of the practice of health care’ (page 5) and I have no doubt that, given thoughtful use of the book by clinicians in training or in practice, that aim is capable of being realised. I would qualify this opinion only by observing that reflection on moral issues doesn’t in itself lead to the taking of better decisions; the outcome of moral reflection is under-determined by its processes. This is an obvious point, of which the authors are well aware, but which is understated in the book; many of its intended users might be philosophically somewhat innocent, and could in consequence think that the book’s specific objectives (page 7) will automatically follow from working through it.

The book is in two parts, of which the first is a preparatory study of some of the constituents of moral and practical reasoning as it concerns health care provision; the second is a sequence of applied studies, covering a familiar range of specific health care contexts, in which the general considerations of Part One are brought to bear. The authors describe Part One as an essay in moral philosophy, but I thought the description misleading and over-ambiguous. Rather it is a commendably brief introduction to how moral values are located amongst other kinds of values, and how they stand in relation to relevant scientific and statistical knowledge and judgment, to the clinician’s practical skills, to the bases of logical reasoning and, less convincingly, to a selection of alternative specific value-systems or ‘models of man’. Inevitably, in a brief work that has so broad a scope, the coverage is uneven, and the level is professedly introductory for the most part. The only dimension in which I found this a real difficulty concerns the too-simple and insufficiently-critical establishment of autonomy as a foundational value, accounting for all the more specific values or ‘principles’ which the authors consider as defining the moral vocabulary of health care practice. A brief acknowledgement of philosophical (as distinct from the merely credal) alternatives to this approach would resolve this problem. Part One is moreover well-structured, with the possible exception of its last chapter on logical argument which might have been better located earlier on.

Part Two’s rationale, applying the understandings and conclusions of Part One to specific problems, is an attractive one, and on its own terms the execution of Part Two broadly
Ethics and research on human subjects: international guidelines

Proceedings of the XXVIIth Council for International Organisations of Medical Science Conference, Geneva, Switzerland, 5 February 1992


Ethics and Research on Human Subjects consists of the proceedings of the Council of International Organisations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) conference of 1992. The subject of this conference was the new issue of the international guidelines from CIOMS on medical research on humans. The new version, amended as a result of the conference, is included in this volume in full (the guidelines themselves were previously reviewed in the JME (1)).

At the conference three main areas were considered. The first part of the book is organised into three sections to reflect this. The areas are: i) consent of individuals and agreement of communities to participation in research; ii) ethical review processes; and iii) obligations of sponsors of research, particularly when the research is to take place in a developing country.

These three sections are followed by a series of short papers giving different cultural perspectives from different parts of the globe. The book ends with the CIOMS guidelines reproduced in their final form.

The book raises some interesting questions about recent concerns with research ethics. For example, the role of guidelines has moved from being overtly protective of human subjects towards ensuring equity of subject selection, and regarding research as a good thing in which people have a right to participate, rather than as a bad thing from which they should be protected.

There are two particularly useful chapters, one containing summaries of the development of different guidelines internationally and nationally, and the other a description of the situation regarding regulation of human research in the different World Health Organisation regions.

In my view, the most fascinating part of the book is the series of accounts from different countries of the cultural norms which determine what sorts of ethical principles are considered important. There are detailed descriptions of approaches totally different from our own. For example, the schools of philosophical thought in China (Confucian, Taoist and Buddhist) have all taught that knowledge is gained by looking within oneself, not from observation of external phenomena. Hence there has been no tradition of experimentation in traditional Chinese medicine. In Thailand, ‘face-saving’ is considered to be more important than risk of physical harm, and service to the community takes precedence over service to oneself. In Latin America the Catholic religion has brought with it a strong defence of the innate dignity of Man, but there remains an indigenous legacy of magic which gives the people a fatalistic approach to life’s events. The illiterate of Latin America consider those who have education as magicians with special powers to affect circumstances.

When different values are espoused from those which underpin one’s own belief in what is right, there is an opportunity to question why it is that we think the way we do and whether we could learn from other perspectives. I would have liked to have seen a more profound examination of the ethical perspectives of the West in the light of revelations from other perspectives, and I would have liked there to have been less of an assumption that the ethical standards in the West are the highest of all. As one speaker said: ‘Many Third World communities have much to teach the developed nations about community values’