

little book describes the work of the pioneering rehabilitation centre in Copenhagen. It provides a helpful guide to those developing an interest in the subject. It also provides useful information for all health professionals and should be required reading for every medical and nursing student. The horrors it describes and illustrates are not for the faint-hearted, but sadly torture will not go away because we ignore it. On the contrary, such an attitude creates the very atmosphere in which it is more likely to thrive. Although written by a nurse, there is great emphasis upon a team approach but the duties of individual team members are not set out in detail. It is not always easy to understand the contribution which particular professional skills make to the overall outcome, although the importance of working together and moving the survivor from a position of total dependence to one in which she/he is prepared to assume control over her/his own life and rehabilitation programme, are well brought out.

The book would have benefited from a 'word wash' by a native English speaker, since the nuances are sometimes difficult to understand. Those who are prepared to make the effort to discover them will be richly rewarded.

J STUART HORNER
Member, Torture Working Party,
British Medical Association

[See also the supplement published with this issue of the journal. Editor.]

The Abuse of Casuistry: A History of Moral Reasoning

Albert R Jonsen and Stephen Toulmin, 429 pages, Berkeley and Los Angeles, US\$14.95, University of California Press, 1988

Give a certain kind of dog a bad name and, with a bit of luck, you might succeed in persuading the government to introduce legislation against that particular breed. At a later stage, members of a certain school of thought – perhaps more than one school – might convince the government that such legislation was inappropriate for some reason. They might even convince the government of the breed's innocence. So much for dogs, but what happens

when it is a school of thought that gets the bad name?

Casuistry has had a bad name for quite a long time, and Jonsen and Toulmin believe it is time to put the record straight. The peculiar task of their book, as they put it, is not to rehabilitate the word but rather the art to which the word 'casuistry' refers: 'the practical resolution of particular moral perplexities, or "cases of conscience"'. They note that in recent times it has again become acceptable to discuss specific circumstances and cases. This state of affairs, they feel, has come about almost inadvertently as a by-product of our preoccupation with professional ethics, notably medical ethics. However, although we can see a new casuistry at work in arguments advanced about various issues, 'the philosophical disrepute into which "case ethics" has fallen means that the forms and methods proper to such arguments are not widely discussed or understood'.

The authors make a notable contribution towards filling this gap. Whilst tracing the roots of casuistry in antiquity, and giving an ample history of the subject, they succeed in covering in some depth various arguments about the difference between the kind of reasoning used in geometry and that practical reasoning involved in ethics. They also provide ample descriptions and analyses of how the casuists worked. Whilst admitting that there was at times a fair amount of misuse of the art, they hold that Pascal's famous attack upon it in *The Provincial Letters* went too far because he attacked casuistry as a whole instead of merely trying to rectify its misuse. In their opinion, the abuse of casuistry – in the sense of the scorn that has been poured on it since Pascal's time – has been almost entirely unjustified.

As for the present day, they ask how there can be a renewed casuistry in a culture which lacks the necessary institutions. Some of these institutions, they believe, have come into existence, notably in the world of bioethics. Although much of what they say in this regard refers specifically to the United States, it should be clear to those actively involved in bioethics that somewhat similar things could be said about other parts of the world.

There are numerous discussions of bioethical issues in this book. Indeed the very occasion that led to its being written was the authors' involvement in the work of The National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research in

the United States, an outcome of that work having been a casuistry for distinguishing acceptable and unacceptable ways of involving people as subjects in medical or behavioural research. The book should therefore be of enormous interest to anyone with a serious interest in bioethics or, indeed, any other branch of ethics.

BERNARD HOOSE
Research Fellow in Christian Ethics,
Heythrop College,
11-13 Cavendish Square,
London W1M 0AN.

Proceed with Caution: Predicting Genetic Risks in the Recombinant DNA Era

Neil A Holtzman, 303 pages, Baltimore and London, £23.50 hc, £9.55 sc, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989

Neil Holtzman is Professor of Pediatrics at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and has special interests in the management of inborn errors of metabolism and in the education of the public and of doctors. The concept of this book arose out of a report in the mid-1980s on genetic susceptibilities to environmental agents.

For description it is useful to divide the book into three main parts. In the introduction the scope of the book is summarised and the principles of inheritance and of the role of genes in disease are taught (chapters 1 to 3, 56 pages). Then follow three chapters dealing with the new genetics and based largely on DNA techniques (pages 57 to 138). The sixth chapter describes the transfer of technology from research to clinical and commercial application. The last three chapters cover the factors involved in the development of widespread genetic screening, discussions of the philosophy of genetic testing, 'In whose best interest', and 'What is going to be done?'.

First let me say how appropriate such a publication is and what a wealth of information Holtzman has collected. He writes in an idiosyncratic style but is very readable. At the end of the book many references are given along with copious notes and a huge sprinkling of personal communications which would be difficult to check. In covering such a wide field, Holtzman has often cut