

---

## Correspondence

---

### Moralising judgements

SIR

I was alarmed by Dr Simon Lundy's description of his consultation with a psychologically disturbed young man in whom he considered testing for HIV antibodies (vol 15: 92-93). Phrases such as 'shifty young man', 'probably homosexual', 'HIV negative, he says', 'we only had his word to go on that he had had a previous negative result', and 'he did not seem to be the most reliable of witnesses' set the tone of this remarkable doctor-patient encounter. Dr Lundy's impulse to perform an HIV test seemed to have less to do with optimum care of his patient than with his own prejudice. However, he offers no counselling and actually refrains from asking the man's consent in case he is refused. Then after barely listening to his history, and making the briefest examination of his mental state, Dr Lundy despatches him with a 'major tranquilliser in hefty doses'. Is he suggesting that all homosexual men (if indeed this patient was homosexual) with a psychological presentation are to be assumed to have HIV disease of the brain until proved otherwise? He would do well to read the excellent article in the same issue by Dr Michael Ross who exhorted us to refrain from moralising judgements about HIV infection.

MICHAEL KING MD  
PhD MRCP MRCPsych  
*Senior Lecturer and Honorary Consultant  
Academic Department of Psychiatry  
University of London  
The Royal Free Hospital  
Pond Street  
London NW3 2QG*

### Response to moralising judgements

SIR

I am surprised and sorry to have caused Dr King alarm; he has perhaps not understood that my article was a description of my 'inner consultation', where the ethical issues were considered: it was not a case study.

I think that I have honestly described some of my observations, thought processes and internal debate during this consultation. The suggestions that he makes about my beliefs and intentions bear no relation to the article. His interpretations I must gently rebut.

I wonder if his letter is prompted more by a desire to be 'in print' on this issue than to make a contribution to the ethical debate on consent to tests and treatment?

DR S LUNDY  
*Thamesmead Medical Associates  
Gallions Reach Health Centre  
London SE28 8BE*