

The Management of Terminal Malignant Disease

Dame Cicely Saunders, 250 pages, London, £22.50, Edward Arnold, 1984

Presentation of the material of this edition is essentially the same as previously, with up-dated references and statistics, but it has been rearranged to make study easier. The major additions to the clinical content are in line with current emphasis on home care and there is some interesting new material on paediatric terminal care. In particular, Dr Twycross's chapter on pain relief has been much improved. There is a new chapter by Robert Baxter which deals with specialised techniques for pain control. Mary Baine's chapter on the control of other symptoms has been extensively rewritten and is much clearer. It was a delight to read Professor Dunstan's chapter on discerning the duties and of particular importance are his comments on the duties of the doctor and nurse, although I think it would have been fascinating had he expanded his short discussion on the duties of the patient. His comment that the patient is in no condition to be lectured on ethics implies a number of value judgements, for instance, that the rightness of actions changes with physical state. His comments on the patient's right to die, I am sure, will provoke much thought.

In summary, this revised edition will prove an even more valuable *vademecum* for those working in the field of terminal care. As the general editor says in the foreword, there is some controversial material and it is well known that the area of terminal care receives much stimulus from the strength of the personalities involved. However, the statement 'it is extremely important to treat restlessness actively because of the stress it causes family and staff, if not the patient himself' is a very difficult one to understand and I think highlights how ethical considerations may help to clarify what has often been obscured by emotion.

DR ROBIN PUGSLEY

Medical Director, St Joseph's Home Care Service,

St Joseph's Hospice, Mare Street, Hackney, London E8 4SA

In Vitro Fertilisation and Embryo Transfer

Editors, Alan Trounson and Carl Wood, 254 pages, Great Britain, £25, Churchill Livingstone, 1984

In vitro fertilisation is a subject which

interests not only health-care professionals directly involved with the alleviation of subfertility, but many others also because of the moral and legal implications of establishing the precursors of human life in the laboratory.

This book, edited by two pioneers in the field of *in vitro* fertilisation, Professor Carl Wood and Dr Alan Trounson of the Monash group in Australia, is comprised of fifteen chapters written by internationally acknowledged experts. It is divided into three parts and covers most aspects of this highly specialised subject. Part one is concerned with basic physiology of *in vitro* fertilisation and draws heavily on animal models to demonstrate the principles involved. Part two, Human Physiology, specifically deals with aspects of ovulation induction, the fertilisation process, the assessment of pre-embryo viability, the ultrastructure of pre-embryos and aspects of their long-term preservation at low temperatures. Highly recommended are the chapters on the endocrinology of follicular growth (Healy and Hodgen) and stimulation of ovulation (Kerin and Seamark) which are the most lucid that I have read on these somewhat involved topics. They will be of particular help to the non-clinician trying to fathom the empirical nature of many of the stimulation regimes currently being applied to *in vitro* fertilisation to try and attain or improve clinical success. Part three is the How to do it section of the book, and covers patient selection, techniques for oocyte recovery, embryo replacement and the management of ensuing pregnancies.

Of interest to the ethicist are the opening and closing chapters. John Biggers's excellent introduction puts into historical perspective the attempts to alleviate tubal infertility, and the history of *in vitro* fertilisation and embryo transfer. It will come as a surprise to many, that the first embryo transfer occurred as early as 1890, and the first attempts at *in vitro* fertilisation in the 1930s. It is also not commonly acknowledged that the first human pregnancy following *in vitro* fertilisation and embryo replacement occurred in 1975 although it was not until 1978 that the first live birth was reported. Professor Biggers's chapter re-emphasises the inappropriateness of the current political hysteria about research which utilises fertilisation *in vitro* when controls have been called for by involved scientists and clinicians for well over a decade.

The final chapter by the doyen of the subject, Bob Edwards, provides a perspective of a different kind. It is a

realistic appraisal of some of the scientific and medical advances that have accrued as a result of the clinical practice of the discipline, and those that could, and should accrue if research in the field is not prematurely and unnecessarily halted. For those unfamiliar with the possibilities for the future, this chapter will be revealing; for those inherently against the idea of using human pre-embryos for research, this chapter will probably merely reinforce their prejudices. For both however, there is much to deliberate on.

Thus, rather than a treatise on the moral or ethical debates about *in vitro* fertilisation, the bulk of the book is devoted to the biological bases of, and the therapeutic use of *in vitro* fertilisation and embryo replacement. Being a book written in 1982/83 much of the data about the therapeutic results of *in vitro* fertilisation are now obsolete.

This is not a failing of the authors or the editors, but a function of the inherent obsolescence of books about rapidly changing fields. However, this should in no way detract from its merit, for as it stands it is probably the best book on the subject currently available although, with the explosion of knowledge in this field, is unlikely to remain so for long.

PETER BRAUDE

Senior Research Associate,
Department of Obstetrics
and Gynaecology, University
of Cambridge Clinical School

Selective Nontreatment of Handicapped Newborns

Robert Weir, 292 pages, New York, £25.00, Oxford University Press, 1984

This is an uncomfortable book for a paediatrician to review. By exploring the areas of ethical dilemma for the practising doctor involved in the care of the newborn, many questions which conveniently (or perhaps deliberately) have remained dormant, are thrust into life. The arrangement of the material, with its case histories and personal views, brings the reader into a decision-making role. These decisions, as reported in the book, can then be compared with those of the experts, allowing the reader a very active – though exhausting – experience of the difficulties.

The book starts with a review of the history of infanticide which, although morbidly fascinating, perhaps suggests to the reader that the author has come to

his own clear conviction that the omission of intensive care is aligned to infanticide.

The activities within neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) are explained and illustrated very well. The glossary in the appendix will help those not familiar with the jargon of the NICU to find their way into this ethical minefield, without this handicap at least.

The opinions of seven paediatricians (including surgeons) who have published their views, are then reported. Their arguments are persuasive and erudite, although the inevitable repetition in this chapter is rather tedious.

Because they refer to United States law, the chapters on law and criminal liability are confusing, and probably of little value to the United Kingdom reader, except insofar as they help him or her to understand the arguments later in the book. This transatlantic difference was also reflected in the consideration of the financial aspects of intensive care and parental decisions.

The chapters on the opinions of the ethicists and on ethical criteria were extremely valuable. These, together with the extensive references provided, will equip the reader with a more sound basis for decision-making, explanation and argument in practice, and in teaching. The moral difference between doing harm by omission or commission is carefully discussed. The relationship between this and whether the multiply-handicapped infant is really a person – and whether the suffering inevitably associated with intensive care is justified if he is – is just one of the many unanswerable issues raised.

The final chapter, on procedures and recommendations, left me feeling slightly chilled. For those who have shared with parents in the difficult decision as to the degree of treatment to be provided for a particular baby's problem, the idea that a committee should coldly assess the relevant factors is probably untenable. Even if the morally relevant factors could be defined and presented adequately, the potential for an increase in suffering to the family and the caring staff by such a procedure would not be outweighed by the fairness to the baby – unless one does not believe in the normal protective love of most parents, or in the integrity of most doctors. Fortunately, the chapter and the book finish with a plea to consider the needs of the dying baby and the bereaved parents: 'Having made or had some part in a selective nontreatment decision, bereaved persons need a private place to cry, to think, perhaps to

pray – and to hold the body of a child too seriously handicapped to continue living'.

GRAHAM CLAYDEN

*Department of Paediatrics,
United Medical and Dental Schools of
Guys and St Thomas's Hospitals,
London, SE1 7EH.*

The Patient Patients – Women and Their Doctors

Helen Roberts, 143 pages, London, £3.95 (paperback), Pandora, 1985

'The clear message of the women's health movement has been that health must be delivered back into the hands of those most affected by health. And they are not doctors, but ourselves'.

This statement by a distinguished feminist sociologist deserves attention. As Ms Roberts says in her first chapter 'This isn't an anti-doctor book, but that doesn't mean that doctors will see it as "fair"'. The doctor/patient relationship isn't a "fair one"'. What this book does is to illustrate some of the hidden dimensions of power between doctors and their women patients and to suggest what might be done to redress the balance.

Ms Roberts is a senior researcher at Bradford and Ilkely Community College. Her original research, on which the present book is based, was published with Michelle Barrett back in 1978 in *Women, Sexuality and Social Control*, as *Doctors and Their Patients – The Social Control of Women in General Practice*. In this she is in the tradition of Erving Goffman – extending his thinking out from institutions into general practice. Sadly, since her original work, little has been done to extend the basic teaching of sociology to medical students or more importantly to general practitioner trainees.

On the other hand most of the women trainees noted in her statistical tables of 1977 will by now have moved on into general practice and so have given women a much greater opportunity of having a woman doctor.

Her main recommendations as to the improvement of general practitioner services and relationships are appropriate and will prick the consciences of general practitioner readers sensitive enough to read that far.

For readers of this journal the author makes two interesting suggestions. She rejects the stereotype that 'women's energies in our kind of society appear to be devoted to doing good and feeling bad'. Though against 'do it yourself

medicine' she feels that to take more responsibility for their own health women should define themselves as basically healthy. Then in the field of the selection and training of medical students she feels it 'might be easier to teach those who care to become doctors, than to teach doctors to care'.

She certainly helps us understand more of how our women patients probably think about us. I was, for example, particularly struck by her recording the embarrassment of a patient waiting in an examining room for a chaperone to be sent for – and the social implications of this.

On the other hand in some areas her feminism undermines the credibility of her common-sense sociological approach. She criticises a medical textbook containing a problem case that begins 'a very attractive girl of 19, new to the practice . . . she was wearing an exceptionally short skirt and moved provocatively' – Having discussed the case Ms Roberts goes on, 'how would the trainee GP have felt if she or he were confronted with a rather different case study beginning 'a very attractive boy of 19 came to the practice . . . He was wearing an exceptionally close fitting pair of jeans and moved provocatively'. How indeed? Just as wary I hope. In my work with delinquent adolescents I demand a chaperone as much with boys as girls. This sexual 'inversion' just doesn't work as a practical example; people are people, and flirts are flirts.

Even if doctors don't read this book the patients will and by their pressure perhaps make us better doctors – for our patients of both genders.

JAMES F FISHER

*General Practitioner,
85 Castle Lane, Bournemouth,
Dorset BH9 3LQ*

Biomedical Research Involving Animals – Proposed International Guiding Principles

Proceedings of the XVIIth CIOMS Round Table Conference, Editors Z Bankowski and N Howard-Jones, 218 pages, Switzerland, £10, The Council for International Organisations of Medical Sciences, 1984

CIOMS Round Table Conferences are designed to provide interdisciplinary forums to enable the scientific and lay communities to express their views on topics of current concern unhampered by administrative, political, or other