encouraged. In sport, is it because we regard the use of drugs as cheating? Surely not, for other 'cheating' manoeuvres such as blood-doping and training visits to training centres at high altitude, for those who can afford to go, are acceptable. Is it because it advances no social value? In a liberal society every individual has a right to privacy — it is formulated in the American constitution and its amendments — which might be seen, at least within limits, to deny the compulsion for such advancement. These and many other matters are discussed in this book.

Some features of the present situation urgently need our attention. We maintain an unacceptable two-faced political attitude to drug-taking. On the one hand we allow and profit from consumption of alcohol and tobacco; on the other we prohibit cannabis, heroin and the rest. Morally as well as ethically this is both illogical and lamentable. Even now in the throes of an epidemic of narcotic abuse still the harm done by socially acceptable drugs prevails. Certainly social attitudes are changing and there are some signs that the prevalence of the smoking habit will decline. Can we afford to be more liberal in our attitude to the remainder? I believe we must reject the simple pragmatic solution offered by one of the authors; namely that the State cannot effectively control the drug scene, therefore it should give up trying, make appropriate allowances and thereby free the police for more worthwhile pursuits. The fact is that drug-taking is inherently coercive to others, not only to athletes as asserted by Thomas Murray in his chapter, but to us all and especially to the very young, to the ill-informed and to the mentally incapable. To this extent drug abuse is a communicable disease.

There are obviously no straightforward legal solutions. Social attitudes must change: in the final words of Ruth Macklin ' ... it would be a decidedly rational step in a more socially desirable and morally acceptable direction.' This is a book to be read, enjoyed and contemplated.

S E SMITH
Professor of Applied Pharmacology and Therapeutics, United Medical and Dental Schools of Guy's and St. Thomas's Hospitals, Lambeth Palace Road, London SE1 7EH

What is to be Done about Illness and Health — Crisis In The Eighties


This is a book which will excite strong emotions in its medical if not its lay readers.

The first section looks at the social causes of disease. A series of interviews provide a moving image of the health problems of ordinary people in the inner city. The strains of working where productivity matters more than people; the feelings of powerlessness which arise when confronting massive bureaucracies, including the health service; and the complex interactions of poor housing, exploitative jobs and poverty are vividly portrayed.

This human aspect is underpinned by a brief presentation of the epidemiological data linking poverty with ill health. The result is a blending of Inside the Inner City and the Black report, which makes it point strongly and uncompromisingly. Better health is not merely a matter of more money for the National Health Service (NHS); it requires a questioning of how people are forced to live and of placing profits before people.

Unfortunately, the second and third sections of the book, which consider the present role of the health service and how thing could be organised better, fail to maintain the same high standard. The dehumanisation when hospital patients are treated as cases and not as people; the irony of running a hospital as a 'health-care factory' which exploits its workers and promotes their ill-health just as any other profit-oriented factory; and the contrast between the glamour of high technology medicine and the real human needs of the chronically sick and disabled are important points. The discussion of what medicine can and cannot offer society is sante and well balanced. However, though many important criticisms of the way in which the health service operates are made, and the limitations of medicine pointed out, there is a lack of factual support or logical argument for the criticisms made. The use of individual cases to make points, rather than to illustrate points supported by data, gives those who wish to the chance to dismiss the case cited as unrepresentative of the general situation. An irritation is the use of a nebulous 'we' without any clear antecedent in many unsupported assertions, which creates a tone of peevish aggressive moral superiority.

'They were told we were entering the era of unproblematic contraception.' Who was? By whom?

As a general practitioner, I felt this treatment of primary care was far too superficial and sketchy. Perhaps because I am a white male doctor I thought their decision to place all the blame for medical hubris on doctors was simplistic. I would have welcomed a discussion of why society colludes in attributing to doctors powers they do not have, and in seeking miracle cures where there are none. The role of the media in this process, and people's need to control problems by medicalisation are ignored. The doctor-blaming also meant that the oppression of junior hospital doctors, with their 104-hour week and its implications for patient care, was ignored. I found the assumption that there exists an angry, working-class, health-conscious in anything other than an incipient form unconvincing, and would have welcomed more consideration of how such a consciousness could be helped to develop and organise.

The concluding consideration of what better systems of care is rather sketchy and uncertain. The alternative ways of working which are being tried are hinted at rather than discussed, and in the final chapter an apocalyptic vision of a possible socialist health centre is extremely thinly drawn.

This book approaches questions which are vital for anyone who is unhappy with the present state of society and of the health service — which surely all thoughtful people are. In spite of its domatig assertions and some lack of factual support, the validity of its basic case should not be dismissed.

P D TOON, BSc MSc MRCPG DPMSA AKO
Lecturer, Department of General Practice, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London

On the Uses of the Humanities: Vision and Application

A report by the Hastings Center on a project on applied humanities and public policy. Project co-directors: Daniel Callahan, Arthur Caplan, Bruce Jennings, 74 pages, New York, $8.00

The Hastings Center, 1984

The Hastings Center was established in 1969 to address ethical problems of medicine, biology and the behavioural sciences. In this report three co-directors of a project provide help for the teacher who recognises the need for the