

Journal of Medical Ethics

September 1984 Volume 10 Number 3

Contents

Editorial			
Utilitarianism			115
Controversy			
The restoration of medical ethics	<i>Adrian Rogers</i>		117
In defence of situational ethics, the NHS and the permissive society	<i>Sir Douglas Black</i>		121
The positive aspects of medical ethics today	<i>Kenneth Boyd</i>		122
Quality of life in cancer patients – an hypothesis	<i>K C Calman</i>		124
Intended goals and appropriate treatment: an alternative to the ordinary/extraordinary distinction	<i>Christopher Meyers</i>		128
Children in care: are social workers abusing their authority?			133
<i>R C Benians</i>			
Response	<i>Judy Foster</i>		136
Symposium			
Patients' ethical obligation for their health			138
<i>Roger C Sider, Colleen D Clements</i>			
Why you don't owe it to yourself to seek health	<i>Samuel Gorovitz</i>		143
A rejection of doctors as moral guides	<i>Dame Elizabeth Ackroyd</i>		147
Debate			
Dignity and cost-effectiveness: a rejection of the utilitarian approach to death	<i>Simon A Brooks</i>		148
Dignity and cost-effectiveness: analysing the responsibility for decisions in medical ethics	<i>George S Robertson</i>		152
Arresting but misleading phrases	<i>John Harris</i>		155
Words			
Sociobiology	<i>Mary Midgley</i>		158
Contributors to this issue	161	Update	165
Book reviews	162	Lectures and symposia	166
Correspondence	164	Medical groups	168