



## Contents

Volume 42 Issue 2 | February 2016

### The concise argument

- 67 Contextualising consent *M Dunn*

### Reproductive ethics

- 69 Conscientious objection and healthcare in the UK: why tribunals are not the answer *C Cowley*
- 73 In defence of medical tribunals and the reasonability standard for conscientious objection in medicine *R F Card*
- 76 Might a conscience clause be used for non-moral or prejudiced reasons? *Z Deans*
- 78 Conscientious objection and medical tribunals *A Giubilini*
- 80 US primary care physicians' opinions about conscientious refusal: a national vignette experiment *S G Brauer, J D Yoon, F A Curlin*

### Current controversy

- 85 Not so new directions in the law of consent? *Examining Montgomery v Lanarkshire Health Board* *A M Farrell, M Brazier*
- 89 Montgomery on informed consent: an inexperienced decision? *J Montgomery, E Montgomery*

### Clinical ethics

- 95 HIV and the right not to know *J Youngs, J Simmonds*
- 100 HIV status: the prima facie right not to know the result *T K Chan*
- 104 The right not to know does not apply to HIV testing *R Andorno*
- 106 The ethics of non-consensual HIV testing are not substantively different from the ethics of overriding the right not to know a test result *C Foster*
- 108 HIV and the right not to know: a reply to replies *J Youngs, J Simmonds*

### Law, ethics and medicine

- 111 Harm is all you need? Best interests and disputes about parental decision-making *G Birchley*
- 116 Harm isn't all you need: parental discretion and medical decisions for a child *D Wilkinson, T Nair*
- 119 Indeterminacy and the normative basis of the harm threshold for overriding parental decisions: a response to Birchley *R J McDougall*
- 121 Harm: as indeterminate as 'best interests', but useful for triage *C Foster*
- 123 The harm threshold and parents' obligation to benefit their children *G Birchley*
- 127 Vulnerability, therapeutic misconception and informed consent: is there a need for special treatment of pregnant women in fetus-regarding clinical trials? *M K Sheppard*

### Research ethics

- 132 Obtaining informed consent for genomics research in Africa: analysis of H3Africa consent documents *N S Munung, P Marshall, M Campbell, K Littler, F Masiye, O Ouwe-Missi-Oukem-Boyer, J Seeley, D J Stein, P Tindana, J de Vries*

### Teaching and learning ethics

- 138 Learning the law: practical proposals for UK medical education *J K Margetts*

### Ethics briefing

- 141 Abortion—Northern Ireland • Cardiopulmonary resuscitation • Assisted dying • Human gene editing—Washington international summit *M Davies, V English, J C Sheather, S Brannan, R Campbell, R Russell*

Receive regular table of contents by email.  
Register using this QR code.



This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals Open Access scheme. See <http://jme.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml#open>



This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics

Member since 2008  
JME00026

[www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)



When you have finished  
with this please recycle it