proposed, being able to make a wise decision, and being free from coercion. In practice most decisions were shared between parents and child, with older children's views being given more weight.

In carrying out this project the researchers were made aware of the amount of suffering children were expected to endure, of failures in communication between staff, parents and patients, and of other causes of distress due to staff attitudes, lack of training or hospital organisations which were not user-friendly. These comments tend to be one-sided, but as they are on the side of the child they are pertinent and need promoting.

RICHARD WEST

*Medical Postgraduate Department,
University of Bristol.*

Monitoring the health and rehabilitation of torture survivors

S Bøjholm, A Foldspang, M Juhler, M Kastrop, G Skyllv, F Somnier, Copenhagen, Rehabilitation and Research Centre for Torture Victims, 1992, 59 pages, £5.00

There are many centres around the world dedicated to the documentation and treatment of torture survivors. Some have been set up within the countries where torture occurs, and personal danger may exist there, both for the workers and the clients, but more centres are in countries where victims seek refuge, and there, while there may be a greater element of safety, the clients have the severe social consequences of exile added to the memories of torture with which they must live. Some centres are almost overwhelmed by the pressure of work while others, more fortunate, are able to select carefully and accept only the most deserving cases into the centre. It is important that all types of centre should organise their work to the best advantage, and this booklet aims to provide guidelines in achieving this.

The Copenhagen-based Rehabilitation and Research Centre for Torture Victims (RCT) is well placed to formulate guidelines, since it has, over a period of ten years, accepted clients from a large number of different cultures, is well funded, and has experience in educating carers in Denmark as well as setting up units

in other countries. The centre has published widely in general and specialist journals. On the other hand, cases accepted for treatment at the RCT are very carefully selected and are not taken on until they have gained refugee status in Denmark. The centre must therefore have missed out on some aspects of torture rehabilitation and documentation work, in particular, aspects to do with that large and distressed group, the asylum-seekers.

The first section of the booklet formulates, in language that will appeal to managers more than clinicians, the strategic process and aims for monitoring the work of treatment, publicising and, as an eventual aim, prevention of torture. Section two details production models for rehabilitation of clients, with details of how the individual treatment of each client is recorded, the method of documentation of RCT's consultancy activities, and the resources of the centre, training and library activities. Section three is devoted to definitions of torture activities and symptoms, some of them derived from the DSM-111-R classification, with diagnoses derived from the General ICD-9. In section four there is shown a selection of forms in use at the RCT for each client on preliminary examination, admission and discharge as well as forms providing a social assessment and a sleep record.

It is disappointing that the authors have not added an account of the results of the RCT's work, which would have been of interest to those centres who have no contact with the mainstream and need some yardstick by which to assess their own successes and failures.

This booklet could prove useful for those wishing to set up a new centre or trying to re-organise one that has become chaotic. It is unlikely, though, that many centres will have the time or resources available to take the fullest advantage of all that is set out here.

DUNCAN FORREST

*Medical Foundation for the Care of
victims of Torture, London*

New directions in moral theology: the challenge of being human

Kevin T Kelly, London, Geoffrey Chapman, 1992, x+164 pages, £10.99

The basic concern of this book is of importance to all readers of the JME, whilst its context is of particular importance to Roman Catholics. The basic concern is with the moral life of human beings; that they should treat one another with respect. They need to respond to the core of rationality and desires common to humanity, particularly by listening to wise and loving people. This core of human values will be expressed in a variety of ways, and we need to appreciate this diversity, and to realise that a moral pluralism of this kind is not the same as a moral relativism which denies that there are any objective moral truths.

The context of the book is the new directions in moral theology called for by Vatican Council II (1962-1965), one element of which is a dialogue with all men and women of good will. Since the council there has been a vigorous debate among Roman Catholic moral theologians on the nature and depth of these new directions. Kelly is with those who desire a fundamental reconstruction, to achieve a moral theology which is catholic, ecumenical and universal. He draws on his experience both as lecturer in Christian ethics at Heythrop College in London University and on pastoral work in a deprived area of Liverpool. The dignity of the person is to him a dynamic affirmative in the light of which he explores what it is to be pro-cultural and pro-person (a better term than pro-life). It leads him to explore the concept of the common good, and how social conflicts can be creatively handled in terms of it; for there is no society free of conflicts.

Conflicting opinions are also endemic on particular moral issues. The book begins with two articles in *The Times* on the day that the House of Commons began the debate on the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Bill; one was by Archbishop Habgood of York and one by Cardinal Hume of Westminster, and they reached opposite conclusions on the legitimacy of embryo research. In exploring how this could happen Kelly shows how moral absolutes cannot fully describe a particular situation, and that the moral life does not mean living by absolute certainties on particular issues, but by making prudent judgments, in the making of which principles and situations influence one another. Risks are involved, and the trickiest issues are those where we cannot afford the luxury of learning by our mistakes.

There is a strong chapter on the need for the full participation of women in moral dialogues, not least because decisions of conscience are not a matter of disembodied rationality, but of discernment, for which the contribution of women is vital.

Only in the sixth of seven chapters are specifically Christian convictions brought in, and here Kelly is mainly in dialogue with fellow Roman Catholics, and especially with the Sacred Congregation of the Defence of the Faith, whose instructions on *The Ecclesial Vocation of the Theologian* (1990) is subjected to a reasoned scrutiny in an appendix. The book is warm and eirenic in tone, and of more significance than its size and modesty might suggest. Its explicitly Christian sections are important for Christians of all traditions, and its general thesis is central to a plural society where there are many who too easily are sceptical of it.

RONALD PRESTON

Emeritus Professor of Social and Pastoral Theology in the University of Manchester.

Moral theory and medical practice

K W M Fulford, Cambridge,
Cambridge University Press, 1990,
311 pages, £12.50

This is a book by an important and meticulous psychiatrist who has an extensive background in philosophy. It isn't easy to read because he struggles for exactitude and by

implication almost seems convinced that it can be achieved with the words we have. He leans heavily on Sedgwick, who damaged Szasz's dismissal of mental diseases as myths on the grounds that, unlike physical diseases, there was no lesion. Both, Fulford insists, are ethically based concepts not diametrically different. At the same time, Fulford however then accuses Kendell, Roth and Boorse of failing to see that illness is an evaluative term, not a simple scientific concept. Their criticisms of Szasz fail. In other words the debate between the two sides was abortive.

Fulford believes much can develop from the acceptance that medical science has an evaluative basis. That this matters, and in practice to what, is less well demonstrated. We don't choose or change heart failure much by noticing it is evaluative. Does philosophy help renal physiology gain much from noting that waste product is evaluative? Further similarities between what are called mental diseases and physical diseases can be emphasised or minimised depending on the speaker's wishes. Highlighting similarities or differences is obviously often political. In that sense, analysis of him or her, rather than the states, is more illuminating. Human beliefs and statements portray the believer but many are inevitable; urea is a waste product.

Fulford is very aware of the need to see how words are used rather than to ask what they mean. In everyday language they are not accurate representatives for things. He prescribes the use of 'illness' to be the primary valuation of something wrong and 'disease' to be the somewhat more

scientific concept. This is acceptable but perhaps necessarily an arbitrary decision achieving in some conversations greater clarity.

Perhaps he is over-optimistic that philosophical analysis will aid medical science. To some extent the past suggests otherwise. Possibly this is because the philosophers' 'obstinate search for clear meaning' impedes the more pragmatic scientists' more frequent acceptance of some error in his measurements and words, at least for the time being.

However, despite my attempts to find fault, this is an interesting, thought-provoking book which must stimulate thoughtful reflection, not least on concepts of delusion which it examines in careful detail.

F A JENNER

University of Sheffield

Books: information and orders

If you wish to order or require further information regarding the titles reviewed here, please write to or telephone the BMJ Bookshop, PO Box 295, London WC1H 9JR. Tel: 071 383 6244. Fax: 071 383 6662. Books are supplied post free in the UK and for BFPO addresses. Overseas customers should add 15 per cent for postage and packing. Payment can be made by cheque in sterling drawn on a UK bank or by credit card (Mastercard, Visa, or American Express, stating card number, expiry date, and full name. (The price and availability are occasionally subject to revision by the publishers.)