Letters

The ethics of blood supply

SIR

Pablo Rodriguez del Pozo concludes that 'relying entirely on voluntary donors at present means tolerating shortages of blood and plasma' (1). Yet he earlier admitted that 'The all-volunteer model can, by and large, satisfy the need for whole blood' (2). So 'relying entirely on voluntary donors' does not mean tolerating a shortage of blood. But he claims it does mean tolerating a shortage of plasma. He then leaps to the conclusion that the only way to end the 'shortage of plasma' is to cease relying on voluntary donors and introduce payment for plasma.

But of course this is not the only way to increase the provision of plasma. Any plasma shortage can be reduced by increasing the giving of plasma through plasmapheresis – a process which does not take to 'up to two hours' as del Pozo claims: it takes me about 50 minutes in the West End Donor Centre in Margaret Street. Also, as he acknowledges, the methods of later separating plasma from whole blood can be made more efficient. Greater encouragement of giving and improved efficiency of collection will increase the provision of plasma. So it is quite unnecessary to offer incentives or to import plasma.

Further, del Pozo claims that 'decreasing demand is currently unfeasible'. But reducing the number of unnecessary operations performed would obviously reduce the need for blood supplies. A US Senate Committee reported in 1975 that 2.4 million unnecessary operations were performed in the USA every year, causing 12,000 deaths and wasting \$4 billion. This is largely a result of the pernicious fee-for-surgery system.

Much spinal surgery, in the USA especially, has resulted in 'catastrophic' failures, according to

Gordon Waddell (3). C A Faeger and S R Friedberg in 1980 studied 105 cases of failed spinal surgery: in 68 per cent of these patients surgery was not indicated, yet disc excision was performed without adequate evidence of disc herniation. They concluded that disc excision was not an acceptable treatment for back pain alone (4). An editorial in *The Lancet* concluded that 60 per cent of back operations were unnecessary (5). It is often well worth trying less drastic treatments, such as manipulation, before resorting to spinal surgery: 'Fifty per cent of patients with lateral entrapment were markedly improved and as a result did not require operation' (6).

Other unnecessary operations included hysterectomies – in the 1970s in the USA 500,000 were performed every year, of which only 20 per cent were clinically fully justified (7), radical mastectomies – a very large number of which are unnecessary (8), and appendectomies – 75 per cent of appendixes removed in Germany were found to be normal (9).

So we can both provide more plasma and reduce the need for it, within the current all-volunteer system. In Britain we have a model system, based on volunteers and with very rigorous screening procedures: it is well planned and well organised. Commercial pressures would inevitably compromise both the high clinical standards and the effectiveness of the planning: del Pozo himself refers to the 'health dangers associated with cash blood', and it is well known that you cannot plan effectively in the anarchy of the marketplace.

Del Pozo's proposal would, if implemented, wreck our blood-collection system. Why should we destroy a system which is fair, moral and effective?

References

- (1) del Pozo P R. Paying donors and the ethics of blood supply. *Journal*

- of medical ethics 1994; 20: 31-35.
- (2) See reference (1): 33.
- (3) Waddell G. A new clinical model for treatment of low back pain. In: Weinstein J N, Wiesel S W, eds. *The lumbar spine*. London, for the International Society for the Study of the Lumbar Spine: W B Saunders, 1990.
- (4) Faeger C A, Friedberg S R. Analysis of failures and poor results of lumbar spine surgery. *Spine* 1980; 5: 87-94.
- (5) Anonymous [editorial]. *Lancet* 1984; Nov 3: 1020.
- (6) Cassidy J D, Kirkaldy-Willis H. Manipulation. In: Kirkaldy-Willis W H, ed. *Managing low back pain* (2nd ed). Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1988: 295.
- (7) Mendelsohn R. *Male practice*. Chicago: Contemporary Books, 1981.
- (8) Anonymous [editorial]. *Lancet* 1969; 2: 1175.
- (9) Lichtner S, Pflanz M. *Medical care* 1971; 9: 322.

W PODMORE
14 Wilton Way,
Dalston,
London E8 3EE

Resuscitation policy

SIR

There has been much debate since the circular sent from the Chief Medical Officer (1), regarding resuscitation policy, following a complaint from a relative who discovered his mother was assessed as being unsuitable for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Accurate and detailed medical records are required in this era of litigation in which we find ourselves, particularly since the Parliamentary Act of 1991 which permits review of medical notes by patients and their next of kin.

There were no formal guidelines regarding the assessment of patients

for CPR at our District General Hospitals. On a single day in March 1992, the notes of all medical in-patients at one of the hospitals were examined to ascertain which type of patient had been deemed unsuitable for CPR (not for 333's) by their supervising team. In particular, information was sought concerning: reason for not considering patient for CPR; how it was stated; indication of who had been involved in the decision and, in particular, if either the patient or the close relatives knew of the decision, and if the original resuscitation policy was reviewed during the course of the patient's stay in hospital.

Further, it was to be established if the nursing staff were also fully aware of the patient's resuscitation status.

At that time the hospital held 178 medical beds supervised by eight consultant physicians on six wards, the majority of bed occupancy being patients received from the general acute medical take (greater than 85 per cent), routine admissions accounted for only a small percentage. The specialty of acute geriatric medicine was managed at other sites within the city.

Of 133 patients (86 per cent bed occupancy), ages ranging between 17 and 92, only eight (6 per cent) were apparently not suitable for CPR according to the medical documentation. In others, no decision or mention of appropriate action in the event of cardiopulmonary arrest was given. Out of this total of eight, the nurses were apparently unaware of this instruction in four cases.

This random survey showed that reasons for a policy not to resuscitate were not actually documented in the notes and that, at times, decisions had apparently been left to a pre-registration house officer. Although consultant advice may, indeed, have been sought, this was not clearly documented in the notes.

Of the eight entries 'not for 333's' was recorded in seven cases. The remaining read 'patient not for ventilation'.

It would not have been clear to an outside observer as to who had made the decision or on which specific day or hour this had been entered.

The nursing staff in four (50 per cent) of cases were unaware of the medical decision.

There were nine patients (7 per cent) who were actually deemed for resuscitation, despite having terminal disease and who would, at least initially, have been put through the trauma and

indignity of a resuscitation procedure in the event of cardiac arrest.

Individuals are rarely consulted, even in an indirect way, about their own resuscitation policy (2). Surprisingly, one survey of elderly patients found that only 7 per cent requested full CPR in the event that they were found to be in asystole.

Since 1974 in the USA institutional policies for CPR have been introduced. In Britain formal policies are rare, as noted by the Ombudsman in his report.

This study clearly emphasises that there is urgent need of a directive, either at hospital or national level, regarding the delicate decision of whether to resuscitate (3). The Clinical Medical Board is at present considering specific guidelines for resuscitation policies in Britain.

References

- (1) Chief Medical Officer. PL/CMO/91 (22). Circular. Dec 1991.
- (2) Saunders J. Who's for CPR? *Journal of the Royal College of Physicians* 1992; 26, 3: 254-256.
- (3) Stuart K, Abel K, Rai G S. Resuscitation decisions in a general hospital. *British medical journal* 1990; 300: 785.

DR ALAN FITTER
Medical Registrar,
32b West Street,
Ashburton,
Devon TQ13 7DU

Child sexual abuse in the Church: the ethics of throwing stones in glass houses

SIR

Over the last several years much attention in the popular press has been directed at revelations that priests and other figures from various religious communities were involved in perpetrating child sexual abuse. Mostly recently, Roman Catholics in the midwestern United States released a report of an independently commissioned investigation that confirmed sexual misconduct and molestation of at least 21 students at a rural boys' boarding school (1). One member of the clergy has estimated that 2,000 to 4,000 priests may have abused 100,000 children (1).

These revelations are invariably shocking to the public and raise concerns about underlying problems in the priesthood and other religious institutions. While there may be specific risk factors for child sexual abuse within religious institutions, it is important to recognize that intentional injuries, and particularly those involving sexual assault, are if not epidemic, then at least hyperendemic in the United States and other western nations. The Catholic Church has taken a proactive approach to the investigation and prevention of child sexual abuse that needs to be sustained, but the intense focus within the press on religious organizations as a setting for sexual abuse should not diminish surveillance and prevention efforts within other social institutions.

The problems disclosed in religious institutions are likely to be particular but not unique. They point to a serious continuing deficiency in our social and public health discourse on sexual assault. Despite increased attention to sexual assault by journalists and the popular press, much of it responsible and not uniformly sensational, we have yet to see candid discussion of the incidence and prevention of sexual assault occur in a routinized way throughout all major social institutions.

Even the health professions, supposedly in the vanguard of intentional injury prevention, are far from exemplary in leading the development of such processes within their own institutions. For example, how many curriculums within schools that train health professionals contain a component on human sexuality? And how many of these deal explicitly with such issues as prevention of child sexual abuse? Certainly most if not all of the primary care specialties, and not only paediatrics, encounter child abuse in routine practice.

At a more basic level, have institutions such as medical schools and specialty training programmes implemented adequate and systematic internal policing and educational efforts in the area of sexual assault? In some US states, such as California, actions to revoke medical licences for sexual misconduct are on the rise and are reported publicly by the state Board of Medical Quality Assurance. Medical leaders need to inquire whether future physicians are sufficiently and effectively trained in managing their own sexual responses to patients, or patients' responses to them in the clinical setting. There is

copyright