

CME stands for commercial medical education: and ACCME still won't address the issue

Adriane Fugh-Berman, Alycia Hogenmiller

Dr McMahon, who directs the US Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME), criticises¹ our article on how continuing medical education (CME) was used to sell hypoactive sexual desire disorder,² stating that we 'provide no supporting evidence' for our claims that CME courses are an important marketing tool. Perhaps he missed the references in our article, but additional studies support our points.³⁻⁹

Dr McMahon also claims that industry supported only 11% of CME activities.^{1 10} However, ACCME doesn't count two forms of industry funding as commercial support. In 2011, ACCME stopped requiring reporting of in-kind support, defined as 'nonmonetary resources provided by a commercial interest in support of a CME activity'. Examples include 'equipment, supplies, and facilities'.¹¹ In other words, industry can pay for meeting space, hotel rooms, audiovisual costs, food and other costs related to a CME event—but as long as the money is paid directly to a hotel, caterer and the like, none of the money is reported as commercial support.

Also, advertising and exhibits income, which constituted 13.3% of total income in 2014, is not considered commercial support.¹¹ Perhaps it is a coincidence, but since 2010, commercial funding of CME decreased 21% while advertising and exhibit income went up 23%. Industry is unlikely to purchase exhibition space at an event inconsistent with marketing goals.¹²

Dr McMahon also takes umbrage at our implication that the ACCME's *Standards for Commercial Support: Standards to Ensure Independence in CME Activities* are inadequate. How about entirely toothless?

According to ACCME's own materials, employees of drug and device companies are allowed to

... control the content of accredited CME activities when the content of the CME activity is not related to the business lines or products of their employer [and]

... can control the content of accredited CME activities ... when the content of the accredited CME activity is limited to basic science research (e.g., pre-clinical research, drug discovery) or the processes/methodologies of research, themselves unrelated to a specific disease or compound/drug.¹³

These exceptions cover just about everything that industry needs from CME, which is important to marketing precisely because it never directly promotes products.^{3 6 14-18} Positioning invented diseases prior to product launch, convincing physicians of 'unmet needs', expanding populations eligible for treatment (pre-diabetes, pre-hypertension), exaggerating adverse effects of competing therapies, minimising concerns about targeted drugs and identifying 'emerging' (ie, unproven or disproven) uses of drugs are all classic ways by which CME is used for marketing. Basic science presentations create buzz about new mechanisms of action—especially important for marketing when a new drug has no actual clinical advantages. And 'processes/methodologies of research' talks can be used against evidence-based medicine.

CME activities with no commercial funding may still use speakers who are directly funded by industry. As an anonymous pharmaceutical executive stated:

CME contributions are commercial decisions...Grants may also be made in support of programs including particular KOLs [key opinion leaders] whose opinions resonate with the promotional plan. ... Similarly, those known for positions antithetical to the company's promotional plan are less likely to be supported ...⁵

Providers who are dependent on industry have a financial incentive to provide industry-friendly content.

ACCME's report also states that, in 2014, 41% of its 1908 CME providers have commercial support.¹¹ That's true,

as far as it goes, but the number of physician interactions that each provider has—the number of physicians multiplied by the number of CME activities—ranged from 0 to 1.5 million. About 178 providers reported less than 100 physician interactions.¹⁹

It would be fairer to examine the commercial funding of CME providers that served the most physicians. The top 500 ACCME-accredited providers accounted for 90% of physician interactions: almost three-quarters (72%) of the popular providers received commercial support.¹⁹ Publication/education companies, which have the most physician interactions, received 41.6% of their total income from commercial entities.¹¹

In summary, the ACCME claims that its standards prevent industry influence on CME, but its annual report obscures the extent of that influence. In the interests of transparency, ACCME should

1. include in-kind funding as income, and disclose commercial support;
2. disclose the proportion of advertising and exhibits funded by industry; include industry-funded exhibits and advertising income as commercial support;
3. disclose the proportion of individual CME providers' activities that receive commercial support;
4. disclose the titles and sponsors of individual CME activities and
5. disclose the conflicts of interest of individual presenters.

Avoidance of industry-sponsored CME is associated with more rational prescribing.⁴ We certainly agree with Dr McMahon that 'promotion or marketing has no place in accredited CME'. So why isn't ACCME promoting objective continuing education rather than defending industry-funded CME?

Competing interests AF-B is a paid expert witness at the request of plaintiffs in litigation regarding pharmaceutical marketing practices, and directs PharmedOut, a Georgetown University Medical Center project that encourages rational prescribing. AH is the paid project manager of PharmedOut. PharmedOut has a contract with the George Washington Milken Institute School of Public Health to create industry-free continuing medical education modules and courses for the Washington DC Department of Health.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

To cite Fugh-Berman A, Hogenmiller A. *J Med Ethics* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/medethics-2015-103131 Received 30 October 2015

Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

Correspondence to Dr Adriane Fugh-Berman, Pharmacology and Physiology, Georgetown University Medical Center, 3900 Reservoir Rd NW, Med-Dent SE 402, Washington DC 20057, USA; ajf29@georgetown.edu

Accepted 23 November 2015



- ▶ <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2014-102596>
- ▶ <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2015-103129>

J Med Ethics 2015;0:1–2.
doi:10.1136/medethics-2015-103131

REFERENCES

- 1 McMahon GT. Accreditation rules safeguard continuing medical education from commercial influence. *J Med Ethics* 2015. doi:10.1136/medethics-2015-103129
- 2 Meixel A, Yanchar E, Fugh-Berman A. Hypoactive sexual desire disorder: inventing a disease to sell low libido. *J Med Ethics* 2015;41:859–62.
- 3 Braun SR. Promoting “low T”: a medical writer’s perspective. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:1458–60.
- 4 Lieb K, Scheurich A. Contact between doctors and the pharmaceutical industry, their perceptions, and the effects on prescribing habits. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e110130.
- 5 Remarks submitted anonymously by a pharmaceutical executive. Drug company leader says, end industry support of CME. Bioethics Forum 2010 Jun 5. <http://www.thehastingscenter.org/Bioethicsforum/Post.aspx?id=4748&blogid=140>
- 6 Moynihan R. Key opinion leaders: independent experts or drug representatives in disguise? *BMJ* 2008;336:1402–3.
- 7 Stein MA, Bero LA, Chren MM, et al. Narrative review: the promotion of gabapentin: an analysis of internal industry documents. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:284–93.
- 8 Orłowski JP, Wateska L. The effects of pharmaceutical firm enticements on physician prescribing patterns. There’s no such thing as a free lunch. *Chest* 1992;102:270–3.
- 9 Bowman MA, Peale DL. Changes in drug prescribing patterns related to commercial company funding of continuing medical education. *J Contin Educ Health Prof* 1988;8:13–20.
- 10 McMahon GT. Advancing continuing medical education. *JAMA* 2015;314:561–2.
- 11 Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education. Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME®) 2014 Annual Report. 2015 Jul 7:7,9,14,21,22,25. <http://www.accme.org/news-publications/publications/annual-report-data/accme-annual-report-2014>
- 12 Fugh-Berman A. Doctors must not be lapdogs to drug firms. *BMJ* 2006;333:1027.
- 13 Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education. Are there any circumstances when employees of ACCME-defined commercial interests can be in a position to control the content of accredited CME? 2015 May 19. <http://www.accme.org/ask-accme/are-there-any-circumstances-when-employees-accme-defined-commercial-interests-can-be>
- 14 Fugh-Berman A. Selling Disease. Part of Big Pharma/ Bad Medicine, in Boston Review. 2010 May/June. <http://bostonreview.net/angell-big-pharma-bad-medicine>
- 15 Fugh-Berman A, Melnick D. Off-label promotion, on-target sales. *PLoS Med* 2008;5:e210.
- 16 Fugh-Berman A, Batt S. “This may sting a bit”: cutting CME’s ties to pharma. *Virtual Mentor* 2006;8:412–15.
- 17 Sah S, Fugh-Berman A. Physicians under the influence: social psychology and industry marketing strategies. *J Law Med Ethics* 2013;41:665–72.
- 18 Fugh-Berman A. Key opinion leaders: Thus are our medical meetings managed. *BMJ* 2008;337:a789.
- 19 Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education. List of All Currently Accredited CME Providers. 2015 Aug 25. <http://www.accme.org/news-publications/publications/lists-current-and-former-cme-providers/list-all-currently-accredited>



CME stands for commercial medical education: and ACCME still won't address the issue

Adriane Fugh-Berman and Alycia Hogenmiller

J Med Ethics published online December 16, 2015

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2015/12/16/medethics-2015-103131>

References

These include:

This article cites 13 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
<http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2015/12/16/medethics-2015-103131#ref-list-1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Education, medical](#) (61)
[Postgraduate](#) (8)
[Sexual health](#) (150)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>