



Contents

Volume 43 Issue 7 | July 2017

Disorders of consciousness

- 425** Persistent vegetative state and minimally conscious state: ethical, legal and practical dilemmas *L Willmott, B White*
- 427** A matter of life and death *J Baker*
- 435** Procedure, practice and legal requirements: a commentary on 'Why I wrote my advance decision' *A Ruck Keene*
- 439** Back to the bedside? Making clinical decisions in patients with prolonged unconsciousness *D Wade*
- 446** When 'Sanctity of Life' and 'Self-Determination' clash: Briggs versus Briggs [2016] EWCOP 53 – implications for policy and practice *J Kitzinger, C Kitzinger, J Cowley*
- 450** Can 'Best Interests' derail the trolley? Examining withdrawal of clinically assisted nutrition and hydration in patients in the permanent vegetative state *Z Fritz*
- 455** Commentary on Derick Wade's 'Back to the bedside? Making clinical decisions in patients with prolonged unconsciousness' and Zoe Fritz' 'Can 'Best Interests' derail the trolley?' Examining withdrawal of clinically assisted nutrition and hydration in patients in the permanent vegetative state *S Holland*
- 457** Withdrawal of clinically assisted nutrition and hydration decisions in patients with prolonged disorders of consciousness: best interests of the patients and advance directives are the keys *N Lejeune*
- 459** Causes and consequences of delays in treatment-withdrawal from PVS patients: a case study of *Cumbria NHS Clinical Commissioning Group v Miss S and Ors* [2016] EWCOP 32 *J Kitzinger, C Kitzinger*
- 469** A matter of life and death: controversy at the interface between clinical and legal decision-making in prolonged disorders of consciousness *L Turner-Stokes*
- 476** Withdrawing clinically assisted nutrition and hydration (CANH) in patients with prolonged disorders of consciousness: is there still a role for the courts? *V English, J C Sheather*
- 482** The ethics of and the appropriate legislation concerning killing people and letting them die: a response to Merkel *H V McLachlan*
- 485** CPR decision making: why Winspear needs to be challenged? *R Anthony-Pillai*



This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.



This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals Open Access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>

C O P E

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics

Member since 2008
JMO0026

www.publicationethics.org/

equator
network

recycle

When you have finished with this please recycle it



43 (7)

J Med Ethics 2017 43: 425-486

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://jme.bmj.com/content/43/7>

These include:

**Email alerting
service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>