



## Contents

Volume 43 Issue 5 | May 2017

### The concise argument

- 279** Withholding treatment: What, Whom and Why?  
*J Pugh*


### Doping in sport

- 280** The case for and against harm reduction approaches to drugs in sport  
*C L Fry*
- 282** Ethics of a relaxed antidoping rule accompanied by harm-reduction measures  
*B Kayser, J Tolleneer*

- 287** How to justify a ban on doping?

 *C Breitsameter*  
OPEN ACCESS

### Triage and terrorism

- 293** Second thoughts about who is first: the medical triage of violent perpetrators and their victims  
 *A Gold, R D Strous*
- 301** Triage, terrorism and translational ethics  
*M Ardagh*
- 303** Second thoughts about 'second thoughts'  
*M R Wicclair*
- 305** Second call for second thoughts: a response to Ardagh and Wicclair  
*A Gold, R D Strous*

### Brief report

- 307** Ethics and high-value care  
 *M DeCamp, J C Tilburn*  
OPEN ACCESS

### Clinical ethics

- 310** Autonomy, age and sterilisation requests  
*P McQueen*
- 314** The role of anticipated decision regret and the patient's best interest in sterilisation and medically assisted reproduction  
*H Mertes*

- 319** Should healthcare professionals sometimes allow harm? The case of self-injury  
*P J Sullivan*

### Commentary

- 324** Balancing costs and benefits: a clinical perspective does not support a harm minimisation approach for self-injury outside of community settings  
*H Pickard, S Pearce*

### Law, ethics and medicine

- 327** The role of law in decisions to withhold and withdraw life-sustaining treatment from adults who lack capacity: a cross-sectional study  
*B P White, L Willmott, G Williams, C Cartwright, M Parker*

### Current controversy


- 334** 'Hey everybody, don't get pregnant': Zika, WHO and an ethical framework for advising  
*K Byron, D Howard*


### Research ethics

- 339** Highlights in bioethics through 40 years: a quantitative analysis of top-cited journal articles  
*P Jin, M Hakkarinen*
- 346** Defining categories of actionability for secondary findings in next-generation sequencing  
*C Moret, A Mauron, S Fokstuen, P Makrythanasis, S A Hurst*

### Corrections

- 350** Corrections

 This article has been chosen by the editor to be of special interest or importance and is freely available online.

 This article has been made freely available Online under the BMJ Journals Open Access scheme. See <http://authors.bmj.com/open-access/>

 This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics  
Member since 2008 [www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)  
JMO0026

 equator network

 recycle  
When you have finished with this please recycle it



**43 (5)**

*J Med Ethics* 2017 43: 279-350

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://jme.bmj.com/content/43/5>

---

*These include:*

**Email alerting  
service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

**Notes**

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>