

4. **Lane H.** Constructions of deafness. *Disability Soc* 1995;**10**:171–89.
5. **Delatycki M.** Commentary on Spriggs: genetically selected baby free of inherited predisposition to early onset Alzheimer's disease. *J Med Ethics* 2003;**29**:120–4.
6. **Davis D.** Genetic dilemmas: reproductive technology, parental choice, and children's futures. *Camb Q Healthc Ethics* 2000;**11**:102–5.
7. **Shenfield F,** Pennings G, Devroey P, *et al.* Taskforce 5: preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Hum Reprod* 2003;**18**:649–51.
8. **Dennis C.** Deaf by design. *Nature* 2004;**431**:895–6.
9. **Munzo-Baell I,** Ruiz M. Empowering the deaf. let the deaf be deaf. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2000;**54**:40–4.
10. **Savulescu J.** Procreative beneficence: why we should select the best children. *Bioethics* 2001;**15**:413–26.
11. **Savulescu J.** Deaf lesbians, “designer disability,” and the future of medicine. *BMJ* 2002;**325**:771–3.
12. **Tucker B.** Deaf culture, cochlear implants, and elective disability. *Hastings Cent Rep* 1998;**28**:Health module p6.
13. **Middleton A,** Hewison J, Mueller R. Prenatal diagnosis for inherited deafness—What is the potential demand? *J Geneti Couns* 2001;**10**:121–31.
14. **Middleton A,** Hewison J, Mueller R. Attitudes of deaf adults toward genetic testing to hereditary deafness. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;**63**:1175–80.
15. **Preston P.** Mother father deaf: the heritage of difference. *Soc Sci Med* 1994;**40**:1461–7.
16. **Stern S,** Arnos K, Murrelle L, *et al.* Attitudes of deaf and heard of hearing subjects towards genetic testing and prenatal diagnosis of hearing loss. *J Med Genet* 2002;**39**:449–53.
17. **Liamputtong P,** Ezzy D. *Qualitative research methods*. 2nd edn. Melbourne: Oxford University Press, 2005.
18. **Brugner J,** Murray G, O'Riordan M, *et al.* Parental attitudes toward genetic testing for paediatric deafness. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000;**67**:1621–5.

Correction

There was an error in an article published in the August issue of the journal (Schick Tanz S, Schweda M. One man's trash is another man's treasure: exploring economic and moral subtexts of the “organ shortage” problem in public views on organ donation. *J Med Ethics* 2009;**35**:473–6). The first sentence at the top of p476 should read: “It seems to be based on a preconception of the situation formed from the point of view of demand, that is, of (former, potential or prospective) recipients, and thus takes sides with the perspectives, interests and concerns of only one of the parties involved in organ donation.”

doi: 10.1136/jme.2007.027953corr1